

# INTERNET GOVERNANCE FORUM

## Multistakeholder Advisory Group Meeting

*Geneva, 27-28 February 2008*

### Summary Report

1. The Multistakeholder Advisory Group (MAG) met in Geneva on 27-28 February 2008. The discussion focused on the following issues:
  - The mandate to make proposals on a suitable rotation among its members, based on recommendations from the various interested groups;
  - Measures to increase the transparency of its proceedings;
  - Preparation of the Hyderabad meeting.
2. With regard to the process of rotation and renewal of the MAG membership, it was generally felt that some form of rotation was necessary to increase the transparency and legitimacy of the MAG. The need for transparency of both the way the MAG worked and the way in which it was selected was seen as one of the main messages from the open consultations held on 26 February.
3. The group discussed a wide range of options for the renewal of the MAG, ranging from a full reconstitution of the group to a gradual process of replacing members who resigned for professional and other reasons. Proposals to replace members through a random process alone or through a selection based on merit alone were found not to be adequate. The approach that found the most favour was termed the 'black box method'. This method involved the MAG deriving some principles and criteria, as elaborated in paras 9 – 11 below, that will be sent to the Secretary-General of the United Nations with a list of candidate names. Rotating up to 1/3 of the members within each stakeholder group each year was seen as the appropriate way forward.
4. The point was made that there was need for simplicity. A complicated long-term process was not required, as the IGF was midway through its five year mandate. A more elaborate process could be developed later, should the mandate be extended after the review process. Simplicity was also important, so as not to disrupt a seamless transition to the new MAG. The group stressed the importance of timely decisions to ensure continuity in the preparatory process of the Hyderabad meeting.
5. In terms of the composition of the group itself, the size - approximately 40 - was generally found to be acceptable. While there was agreement on the importance of finding an appropriate balance in terms of geography, stakeholder representation and gender, there were different views whether the present composition reflected such a balance. Determining the proper balance between the stakeholders groups was described as a challenge, complicated by the fact that many members had extensive linkages to different stakeholder groups. These linkages were generally found to be beneficial for the group's work.
6. While not perfect, the balance of the current MAG was felt to be reasonable. However, it was generally agreed that there was room for improvement with regard to the gender balance as well as representation of developing countries. Different views were held on the degree of over or under representation of the different stakeholder groups.
7. However, the group was informed that the current balance in the MAG, of 50% of its members proposed by governments and 50% by other stakeholder groups, would be maintained. As governments had their own selection mechanisms through their regional groupings, they would be asked to forward their proposals to the Secretariat.
8. Various methods were discussed for ways in which to provide a shortlist of appropriate candidates for final selection by the Secretary-General. These ranged from an open call to the creation of a small multi-stakeholder committee to work with the Secretariat in developing a list of

candidates. As in the past, there could be a variety of ways in which names would be gathered by the Secretariat, taking into account the endorsements of various stakeholder groups. Some held the view that it would be useful if candidates would include statements indicating their reasons for wanting to participate.

9. It was understood that any decision on how to proceed would be left to the Secretary-General and that any list of candidates would include the current MAG members who wished to continue. One of the criteria passed on to the Secretary-General would be the need for continuity and the request that approximately 2/3 of the members of the current group be carried over into the new group.

10. With regard to the MAG's operating principles and selection criteria, the group reaffirmed that members served in their individual capacity. They emphasized that the work of the MAG was collegial and based on consensus and there was a need for procedural flexibility. The anonymity and non-attribution of the discussions was recognized as a crucial principle of the MAG's work. In concrete terms, this principle, known as the Chatham House Rule, allows participants to use the information received, but neither to reveal the identity nor the affiliation of the speakers. Members should possess relevant knowledge and willingness to reach out and ensure continuous flow of information to and from interested groups. There was agreement that active and constructive participation of all members was required. Members were expected to take part in three meetings in Geneva, as well as in the annual IGF meeting and participate in online preparatory work. Ways of financing attendance of developing country members are being explored. All meetings also provide tools for remote members' participation.

11. Membership in the MAG should respect regional balance. As regards to country representation, the engagement of the respective country in the MAG and IGF should be taken into account. In order to ensure continuity, the host country representation should be reflected in the country representation (all previous, current and future host countries).

12. In terms of transparency in its working methods, the group discussed several suggestions for opening its meetings to a small number of observers as part of its process to increase transparency and to contribute to a better interaction between the MAG and the broader community. However, the support was qualified with a concern for the discipline and dynamics of the MAG. While some members were in favour of admitting observers throughout the MAG meetings, others argued in favour of holding one session open to observers. One proposal was to hold open consultations in the morning and a MAG meeting in the afternoon over a two-day period. The view was also held that the admission of observers could lead to an imbalance in terms of geographical and stakeholder balance, as it would favour Geneva-based participants.

13. The need to maintain the anonymity and non-attribution of the discussions was recognized as a crucial ingredient of the MAG's work. Any opening of the discussions should maintain these principles. One solution could be to open the meeting to a limited number of invited observers, including the regional coordinators.

14. The important contribution of representatives of Intergovernmental Organizations (IGOs) was generally recognized and the view was held that the current practice should be continued, allowing them to take part in the discussions as equals. IGOs are not subject to rotation.

15. The MAG also discussed ways to enhance transparency of its discussions, both in meetings and online. It was generally agreed that a short summary report of the meetings was appropriate and that the public posting of the anonymized email threads be continued.

16. The Indian member presented the conference facilities for the Hyderabad meeting, to be held on 3-6 December 2008. The group welcomed the excellent arrangements.

17. The group discussed the outline for the Hyderabad meeting programme and looked at two alternative draft proposals as a starting point for the planning of the meeting. Both drafts are attached to this summary report. They are to be seen as work in progress and they will be merged into a single proposal, taking into account comments by MAG members in their online work and also comments

received from all interested stakeholders and sent to the IGF Secretariat at [igf@unog.ch](mailto:igf@unog.ch).

18. The final programme will be defined in light of the proposals made for holding workshops and best practice forums. In order to speed up planning all interested stakeholders are invited to submit proposals by 30 April. Preference will be given to organizers who submitted a report of events they staged in Rio de Janeiro.

19. A list of the participants in the meeting is attached to this summary report.

---

## Draft Programme Outline

### General programming principles:

- *Two days with general themes and main sessions focused on specific issues and not general overviews.*
- *Some workshops could be held on themes defined by the MAG (main workshops), but organized by others who respond to a call for proposals.*
- *Main workshops, which are linked to the main sessions and workshops on the four Athens themes, are not to be held in parallel to main sessions.*
- *Other workshops may be held in parallel to the main sessions, depending on the quantity and quality of the proposals.*
- *All organizers of events (workshops, best practices etc) will be asked to commit themselves to submit a report on their event. Non-submission of a report will disqualify the organizer for the following year.*
- *Preference will be given in 2008 to those who did submit a report for 2007. (Submission of reports is still possible and encouraged.)*
- *No official meetings starting after 1800 hours.*
- *No official meetings during the lunch-break between 1300-1400 hours.*
- *Further efforts will be made to enable remote participation*
- *Efforts will be made to publish the proceedings of the meeting also in other formats.*

3 December

Curtain raiser, tutorials, workshops  
Opening ceremony/Opening session

4 December

Theme:

**Universalization of the Internet - How to reach the next billion**  
**(alternate title: Expanding the Internet)**

1100 - 1300: Low cost sustainable access  
1400 - 1600: Multilingualization  
1630 - 1830: Implications for development policy

Host Country Reception

5 December

Theme:

**Managing the Internet**  
**(alternate title: Using the Internet)**

1100 – 1300: Critical Internet resources  
1400 – 1600: Arrangements for Internet governance  
1630 – 1830: Global cooperation for Internet security and stability

6 December

0900 – 1100: Taking stock and the way forward

1130 – 1300: Debate

1400 – 1600: Emerging issues

1630 – 1800: Closing Ceremony

Notes from the discussion:

The discussion on the two schedules and on possible topics took on the character of a brain storming session that would provide a starting point for discussion in the MAG and the wider IGF community.

Some of the points that were brought out include:

- The planning for 2008 should take into account the Chairman's Summary of the Rio meeting and look at the lessons learned and issues raised in the previous meetings.
- Possible focused topics for "Low cost sustainable access" could include the role of entrepreneurship in providing low cost sustainable access with a special focus on entrepreneurship and India's success.
- The scope of "Managing the Internet" topic could focus on international, national or local management of the Internet or the relationship among the three levels.
- Possible focused topics for "Critical Internet resources" include:
  - Enabling growth and innovation
  - Capacity building
  - The role of public private partnership in managing the Internet
  - Transition from IPv4 to IPv6
  - Governance issues in promoting the adoption of IPv6
  - Topics beyond IP addressing
- Possible Debate topics:
  - IPR and innovation for development
  - Privacy and protection of children
  - Relationship between security and privacy
- The "Taking Stock and the Way Forward" session could include an evaluation of the IGF in regard to its mandate
- Other comments:
  - Freedom of Expression should have a dedicated session, though there was a question whether the IGF was the appropriate forum to discuss this issue.
  - Should the topic on innovations be discussed under
    - Emerging Issues
    - Universalization of the Internet.

IGF India 2008 - Draft Schedule 1 – Corresponds to Draft Programme Outline

	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4
09:00				Taking Stock and the Way Forward
10:00	Curtain raiser	Universalization of the Internet - How to reach the next billion	Managing the Internet	
11:00	Tutorials			
12:00	Workshops	Low-cost Sustainable Access	Critical Internet Resources	Debate
13:00	LUNCH	LUNCH	LUNCH	LUNCH
14:00				
15:00	Opening Ceremony	Multilingualization	Arrangement for Internet Governance	Emerging Issues
16:00				
17:00	Opening Session: Universalization of the Internet - How to reach the next billion	Implications for Development Policy	Global Cooperation for Internet Security and Stability	Closing Ceremony
18:00				
19:00				
20:00		Host Country Reception		
21:00				
22:00				

IGF India 2008 - Draft Schedule 2 – Alternate Proposal

	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4
09:00	Arrival and registration	Workshops including Prep for Managing the Internet	Workshops including prep for Privacy and Openness	Workshops including prep for Emerging Issues
10:00				
11:00	Workshops including prep for Universalization of the Internet and Managing the Internet			
12:00	LUNCH	Managing the Internet	Debate Relationship between Security, Privacy and Openness	Debate
13:00	Networking	LUNCH	LUNCH	LUNCH
14:00	Opening Ceremony	Networking	Networking	Networking
15:00				
16:00	Opening Session: Expanding the Internet - How to reach the next billion	Follow-up Sessions: Managing the Internet	Follow-up Workshops: Privacy and Openness	Closing Ceremony
17:00				
18:00				
19:00				
20:00		Host Country Reception		
21:00				
22:00				

**MAG MEETING 27-28 FEBRUARY 2008-03-05**  
**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

Name	Position
<b>Chairmen</b>	
Desai, Nitin	United Nations Secretary-General's Special Adviser for Internet Governance
Vianna, Hadil da Rocha	Minister, Director for Scientific and Technological Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Brazil
<b>Members</b>	
Akplogan, Adiel	CEO, Regional Internet Registry (RIR) for Africa - AfriNIC
AlShatti, Qusai	Deputy Chairman of Kuwait Information Technology Society (KITS)
Arida, Christine	Director of Telecom Planning and Services, National Telecom Regulatory Authority (NTRA), Egypt
Cavalli, Olga del Carmen	Adviser for Technology, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Argentina
Clarke, Trevor	Permanent Representative of Barbados to the United Nations Office at Geneva
Dardailler, Daniel	Associate Chair for Europe, World Wide Web Consortium (W3C)
Diop Diagne, Ndeye Maimouna	Director of Information and Communication Technology (ICT), Ministry of Posts, Telecommunications and ICT, Senegal
Disspain, Chris	Chief Executive Officer, .AU Registry; Chair, Council of Country-Code Names Supporting Organization (ccNSO)
Echeberría, Raúl	Executive Director/CEO, Latin America and Caribbean Internet Addresses Registry (LACNIC)
Fältström, Patrik	Consulting Engineer, Cisco Systems; Member of the board Internet Society; Member Swedish Government IT Advisory Group
Graham, Bill	Global Strategic Engagement, the Internet Society (ISOC)
Hassan, Ayesha	Senior Policy Manager, E-Business, IT, and Telecoms, Executive in charge of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) Policy, International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)
Hellmonds, Peter	Head, Corporate Social Responsibility at Nokia Siemens Networks
Hofmann, Jeanette	Researcher, Social Science Research Center
Katundu, Michael	Principal Officer, Information Technology Communications Commission, Kenya
Kišonas, Valdas	Director of the Information Technologies and Telecommunications Department, Ministry of Transport and Communications, Lithuania

Lohento, Gemma Brice (Ken)	Panos Institute West Africa, Coordinator, Center for International ICT Policies for Central and West Africa (CIPACO)
Oliver, Colin	Assistant Secretary, International Branch, Department of Broadband, Communications and the Digital Economy, Canberra, Australia
Papadatos, George	Minister-Counsellor, Permanent Mission of Greece to the United Nations Office at Geneva
Peake, Adam	Executive Research Fellow/Associate Professor, Centre for Global Communications (GLOCOM), International University of Japan
Quaynor, Nii	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Network Computer Systems; President, Internet Society of Ghana
Sha'ban, Charles	Executive Director, Regional Office, Abu-Ghazaleh Intellectual Property (AGIP)
Shanker, N. Ravi	Joint Secretary, Government of India, Ministry of Communications and Information technology, Department of Information Technology, India
Shears, Matthew	Public Policy Advisor, ISOC
Sostaric, Davor	Undersecretary at the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia.
Swinehart, Theresa	Vice President, Global and Strategic Partnerships, ICANN
Tang, Zicai	Deputy Director, Department of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Information Industry (MII), China
Taylor, Emily	Nominet (.UK), Director of Legal and Policy
Vasiliev, Vladimir	Deputy Director, Department of Information Society Strategy, Ministry for Information Technologies and Communication, Russian Federation
<b>Members participating remotely</b>	
Gross, Robin D.	Executive Director, IP Justice
Pisanty, Alejandro	Director of Computing Academic Services, Universidad Autónoma de México; Director ISOC Mexico
Kārklīņš, Jānis	Ambassador of Latvia to France and UNESCO
Akinsanmi, Titilayo	Programme Manager, SchoolNet Africa; Global Facilitator of the WSIS Youth Caucus
<b>Inter-Governmental Organizations</b>	
Beccalli, Andrea	UNESCO
Bradley, Joe	WIPO
Holst, Kasper	Council of Europe
Malinowski, Jan	Council of Europe
Obiso, Marco	ITU
Ouedraogo, Pierre	OIF

Qian, Haiyan	UN-DESA
Sarrocco, Claudia	OECD
<b>Regional Coordinators</b>	
Adamic, Maja	Permanent Mission of Croatia (Eastern Europe)
Alcaine, Miguel	El Salvador (GRULAC)
Frédéric, Riehl	Switzerland (WEOG)
Schneider, Thomas	Switzerland (WEOG)
<b>Advisors and others</b>	
Hansen, José Vitor	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Brazil
Afonso, Carlos	Member of the CGI.br Brazilian Internet Steering Committee
Berbert, Cristiano	Permanent Mission of Brazil to the United Nations Office at Geneva
Bicalho, José Alexandre	ANATEL Telecommunications Regulatory Agency
Mazzone, Giacomo	WBU-EBU
Gindre, Gustavo	Member of the CGI.br Brazilian Internet Steering Committee
Glaser, Hartmut	Director of NIC.br
Kleinwächter, Wolfgang	Professor, International Communication Policy and Regulation, University of Aarhus
Kurbalija, Jovan	Director, DiploFoundation
Miloshevic, Desiree	Afilias, ISOC Board Member
Sadowsky, George	Executive Director, Global Internet Policy Initiative
Shaw, Heather	Vice President, ICT Policy, United States Council for International Business (participating remotely)
Markot, Elisabeth	European Commission
Diniz, Vagner	Nic.br
Narayanan, Bagavathiparamb,	Government of India
Glukhova, Elena	Government of Russian Federation
Kokoshkin, Igor	Government of Russian Federation
Fadeev, Pavel	Government of Russian Federation
Kushtuev, Alexander	Government of Russian Federation
<b>Secretariat</b>	
Kummer, Markus	Executive Coordinator
Masango, Chengetai	Programme and Technology Manager
Doria, Avri	Consultant
Kanjo, Chipso	Fellow
Arata, Seiiti	Intern