

RAW FILE

IGFSA - DC
AUGUST 22, 2023
1300 CET

Services provided by:
Caption First, Inc.
P.O. Box 3066
Monument, CO 80132
719-941-9557
www.captionfirst.com

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: One minute to go and I can only see two Dynamic Coalitions here.

>> I can send a reminder again. There were a little bit more DC participants who actually agreed on today's meeting.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Please do. Please do. Let's hope they will join us once you send a reminder. It is the top of the hour. But given the very limited participation, I suggest we wait one minute or two and hope -- okay. We have three Dynamic Coalitions. And Switzerland needs three people to form an Association. So we would have a quorum on the Swiss level.

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: Apologies, some other time. People are busy. Sometimes they end up missing a call. But I think even a great support, great Dynamic Coalitions.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: No, I understand. We're all busy. In Europe it is still peak holiday period. As it happens we have a phase of very hot weather.

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: Yes. I'm also on the airport. I would have missed this call but I try not to.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I mean -- it -- okay. We have two more people joining, Eleni. Who is that? A phone in participant, can you identify yourself? It is a UK number, I think.

The phone-in participant, can you identify yourself? Either

in a chat or speak and say who you are?

>> Okay. Am I unmuted now?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Oh. Is that you?

>> Hello. I'm on the laptop at home.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. I get it. I get it. Yes. Okay.

>> But I'm taking someone to the station. So I will be on my phone and I actually wanted to be here. And it is a UK number. But I'm in the south of the France, which is a great deal hotter, around 40.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. You can jump into the sea I suppose.

>> Yes. I did that this morning and it is not cold enough anymore.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: The sea is boiling as well, yeah. Okay. That problem solved. It is Olivier on the phone. I would suggest we get started with our meeting in any case.

We had Celine had sent out an agenda. It is a very basic agenda. Essentially an update of what's happening from the Secretariat and then we discuss somehow to go about to create our main session.

So can you show it up on the screen, Celine, the agenda?

>> CELINE BAL: I have already sent it in the chat.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Do I take it there is other comments or there is agreement that we proceed according to the agenda sent out by the Secretariat? As I can't hear any objection, I would assume that we have agreement that the agenda is adopted as proposed. Celine, could you give us an update on what's happening as a good introduction in our main discussion on participating the DC main session, please?

>> CELINE BAL: Thank you very much. I was thinking of using today's call to give you a short update on the IGF 2023 preparations in general. So some of you have probably already received two weeks ago the information that the schedule has been now officially published on the IGF website. So you can see it on the IGF website under IGF 2023, there is an extra tab for the schedule. So we've received a few e-mails from some organizers letting us know about a clash between a few speakers that they already had on the panel, et cetera, et cetera. So just to let you know that there is going to be an updated version of the schedule soon going to be published. Hopefully by the end of the this week or latest beginning of next week.

But in case you have not already done so, I would suggest that you have a look at the schedule, just because we have also your DC sessions scheduled in this IGF program.

So other than that, I was probably hoping that you may come also during this meeting with a few questions, should you have any. Let it be the IGF registration, making sure that you have

already registered in case you are participating at the IGF in person, that you are also doing all the necessary with Visa application, et cetera, et cetera.

So I would also like to hear from you right now. I see that Rajendra has his hand up. Please.

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: Yes. Just one request. If you can -- link in this chat about this session, because updated -- I have a quick look. It is a very big conference next week. So we're busy. And I look at it and there is a clash, I will come back to you. That's what I requested.

>> CELINE BAL: Sure. I will send it in the chat right now. If there is a clash with a few speakers or something, please write to us an e-mail. We can't guarantee it. But we will try to address any requests. Thank you.

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: Can I just make one comment as well? Not to complain. One comment. Part of DC, particularly how Internet (inaudible) environment. So we had a very common approach, every submitter would believe that (inaudible). An environment, since in December, could you make sure that we had request for organization, biodiversity and maintenance. Very compelling. So that was not accepted. Not a disappointment. But I think in an environment, DC we had worked hard to write a report on that working with people. Would be a part of this panel. But no complaints. I think there is a -- as a process we expect a mass decision. And I will abide by that. We had carefully thought for everyone proposal make sure that it was environment is a major issue. And you know there is Celine, if there is a responsibility we take very seriously. I commit to the time. I think biodiversity and mental health could have been a session. No complaints. I accept the decision.

We had a feeling -- we thought as a close team we respect and we here. Should convey to you this could have been considered.

>> CELINE BAL: Thank you very much for your comment. So this is just to let you know that the basic criteria for a DC, have the annual report. We have been in touch with you as you have taken over in the meantime I think Franca, you wanted to follow up for the main -- for the annual report of this DC. And we had to put this session submission aside just because we've received a lot of session submissions this year, double as match as in previous years. We had to be a little bit stricter and apply the criteria to select the various sessions.

Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, Celine. Is that all you wanted to say for the general update?

>> CELINE BAL: Yes. So what is relevant to the DC, to the DCs is indeed the schedule. Otherwise, what might also be a little bit more relevant to is you the organization of the

current sessions by the MAG. There is dedicated mailing lists that have been created on the MAG is currently actively working on the DC main -- on the main sessions of the IGF. And this is an information that was not published in the schedule yet. Just because they did not finalize titles and whatsoever. But in case they have more concrete ideas on the sessions, but also on when they are taking place, I'm happy to share this information with the DC. But again we've also made sure not to have any topical overlaps to the best extent that we could. So with the draft schedule of main sessions that we had on an internal list, we made sure not to have any DC that would clash with those main sessions and the topics. Thank you. That would be it from my side if there aren't any other questions.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you very much. And you can rest assured we don't envy you for your task. It is very tricky business to work out a schedule and make sure that everyone is equally happy or equally unhappy. There is almost always clashes and it is almost unavoidable. We are grateful for you to respond to requests to avoid clashes or overlaps between sessions and speakers.

With that then, unless there are questions from the floor, we can move to the DC main session preparation. And we have given you all some deadlines. And we have received feedback from you. And I think that was rather positive to get your response and interests. And could I again hand back to you, Celine as you managed the process and report to us on what we received. Please.

>> CELINE BAL: Absolutely. Thank you very much, Markus. So thanks to all of you who filled out the form also on time by the given deadline. We have received a total of 21 responses. Each from a different DC. And we've exported the content of all your forms that you submitted. And what we've done and this is something that I can share on my screen and also share later on, we've created a matrix to have all the SDGs that each -- that each DC indicated relevance to their work.

So that we see the various overlaps but we've also created such a matrix to show the relevance, the topical relevance of each of those five subgroups from the Internet we want.

So let me quickly share my screen with you. And then I can show you what we've done. Yes. So here we can see all the 21 DCs that have submitted the Microsoft forms. Here the various SDGs, so this is going to be relevant because this is also part of the main topic of the main session.

And then on sheet 2, you can see again the same 21 DCs with those five subthemes which are whole and open, universal and inclusive, free flowing and trustworthy. Faith and secure as well as rights respecting.

Again after this call we'll be happy to share this. But I -- we were thinking this might be a good basis also for the upcoming main session preparations. Markus, is there anything that you would like to share?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: No. Thank you very much. This is a very helpful spreadsheet to show and I think there is a large degree of convergence among the DCs around these themes.

So the question now is how do we translate that into a coherent main session. We have shared a concept note on how such a main session could look. And also discussing it a bit with the other Secretariat folks who prepare or chaperone some of these sessions like the NRI main session. We also looked a little bit on how they're going about us. We thought it would make sense a little bit to follow good or best practices and also a bit -- that we follow the mainstream. The main problem always is we have many Dynamic Coalitions. And they have a vast range of different interests and how do we shape that into a coherent main session.

So now we have the main themes that gives us already a much narrower focus. And there I think the original idea was that we start with a panel to set the scene and then leave much space for open discussion that will be with the other participants in the room, but also with all the DCs that are not represented on the panel.

And what we thought was maybe have one speaker for each of the main themes that will give us five speakers. And then again follow a little bit the lead, the NRIs have set with making sure that they are the usual criteria are respected; that is that gender diversity, geographical diversity, stakeholder diversity, so that we would not have a panel with let's say five males from Western Europe, North America, but would have a suitable geographical diversity and adequate gender. And also stakeholder diversity. We don't want just Government representatives or we don't want just Civil Society representatives. There obviously we will need some gate keeping from the Secretariat to make sure that the -- all these criteria are adequately respected. But Celine have I given a reasonable introduction or could you fill in the gaps that I have left out?

>> CELINE BAL: Yes. You gave a very good overview. Indeed we followed up also with Anja who is the lead on the NRIs here within the IGF Secretariat. She let us know a little bit about the best practice of the -- of how the NRIs are actually organizing their main session. That's also happening on Friday by the way. And basically there is a main organizer's group. And this is where the Secretariat will still today set up a dedicated mailing list.

And we suggested that by the end of this week all organizers

who are interested in or all DC participants who are interested in being part of this organizing group can add themselves to the mailing list. So that we have a separate channel just dedicated for the preparation process. And then what I will do, something that you can do in parallel, I'm sharing a Google doc with you in the chat, where you already start just suggesting a few speakers. One of the most important columns is you are going to see the fourth column where we ask for the relevance to one of the five subthemes of our sessions. So the Internet we want. Perhaps you can when you suggest a speaker you add the expertise of the speaker according to one those subthemes. And also I would kindly ask you to add your name in the last column to make sure that in case we have questions regarding suggested speakers we can go back to get this additional information from you.

Markus, do you want to add something regarding that?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well, one thing is I mean this is basically the practice the MAG uses for organizing the main session, that we have a dedicated mailing list and that's on an opting in basis. So anybody who wants to be part of that group organizing the session can join.

But one thing also the MAG has done that over the past years, it should not be a self-grooming group that is that the people who are the organizers put themselves on a speaking slot.

So if you want to be part of the organizing group, you would have to be aware that you will not be a speaker. So we separate the two functions.

But obviously, you can propose speakers who are close to you, maybe members of the same Dynamic Coalition. That's fine.

But we could also say you should not be able to propose -- that's open for discussion. I think that might be a little bit too strict, if you say you are not able to promote somebody from your own Dynamic Coalition. But it should not be yourself as a very basic rule. So we have these separation of functions. Main difference between the previous years when we organized previous Dynamic Coalitions, we tried to accommodate as many DCs as possible to be speakers on the panel. And this time we try to be more focused because that's always been a main complaint that there are too many speakers and that dilutes the interest. But again we would open up after the introductory panel of the five experts to speak about these five themes.

And then all the DCs could again jump in and make their point.

So does this proposal meet your approval? Or do you have any adjustments to make? The next step, we open the list where you opt in as a potential organizer and then also you propose speakers to the various themes. Rajendra, you have your hand up?

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: Answer to accepting your proposal.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you very much. If there is no violent objection, can we then assume that this proposal meets the approval of this group? I mean it's -- it needs to be filled in with names. And there we wait for your suggestions. That is speakers for each of the five themes. But also proposals for Moderators, for Remote Moderators and also for Rapporteurs, is that correct?

>> CELINE BAL: Rapporteur, exactly. Thank you, Markus.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: There again we should think about -- and that essentially would then be the Secretariat's task. But already think about it when you propose that you think in terms of suitable diversity under all aspects. I just saw an exchange on the NRI list to somebody complain. No they can't possibly have a Rapporteur from this country because the Rapporteur last year was from the same country. It should be somebody else. Again the Remote Moderator should come from some other country.

Should also take this equally seriously, that we reflect a suitable diversity. Are there questions, suggestions? Or are we incredibly efficient, and we can close the session after half an hour? That would be -- I'm sure we have other things to discuss. But at the same time I don't want to extend it unnecessarily. If I can give back some of your life, most happy to do so.

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: I think that silence gives consent.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yeah, I think so. Yes. Is it just confusion at a higher level?

>> RAJENDRA GUPTA: Not really.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: But -- Celine worked out such a coherent proposal that everyone is silent full of admiration I think. Take that as a compliment, Celine. Is there any question? And I think we have to discuss a bit deadlines. We thought for opting in for those who are going -- who wish to join the organizing group that they should do so by the end of this week. That would be a reasonable deadline. Then once we have the list, the dedicated list, obviously those who are on the list will have to agree who will be sort of the lead organizer. It is always good to have a -- somebody who is responsible, overall responsible. It can also be to co-organize. That's no problem. If you have a collective group, you need to have somebody who is willing to take the lead.

But we would leave that up to the people who sign in to the group, to organize among themselves. We don't need to discuss that right now.

I see there is somebody joined just now. And Wout is late. And is back from holidays. Also joining late. Well, we're happy -- sorry to have missed you at the beginning. So we're happy to report that we have been so efficient that we're

already agreed on most things. But maybe for the benefit of the late comers, Celine, can you give a summary of what we already agreed on?

>> CELINE BAL: Sure. Hi Wout. I hope you enjoyed your vacation. So to all those who are in the call just to let you know I will also send a summary e-mail after this calling taking into account it was a fairly small group joining today's DC session. But for those who joined late, so we've given a short overview of the general IGF preparation. So the most important update is, of course, the IGF schedule that has been -- that has been published on the IGF website. So under IGF 2023 you have a separate tab for the IGF draft schedule. And we will soon send and publish an updated version just to take into account everything what we may have missed in the first round or any changes that we took into consideration.

And then what we agreed on during this call is the process on how to prepare the main session. So basically Wout and all others who joined a little bit later, we will create a dedicated mailing list for those who would like to be part of the organizing team of the main session. And by the end of the this week all those from the DCs who are interested can join this mailing list, dedicated mailing list. I'm getting confused here. And then what Markus just repeated right now is that within this group it would be great to have a main organizer or at least two co-organizers taking a little bit the lead of this main session organization. And in parallel to that, I have already shared a sheet where you can all already make suggestions for Moderators. So both online and on site to different ones. But also for speakers. And at a later stage would also need a volunteer who would be the Rapporteur of the session.

So far do we have any questions?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: One thing, Celine, you have not mentioned, is that the organizing group, they cannot propose themselves as speakers. They are just organizers. But they -- it is understood that if you are part of the organizing group, you will not be a speaker. And we are looking for five speakers for each -- one for each of the main themes. And then in addition Moderator, Remote Moderator, and a Rapporteur. So eight people. And again with all due consideration for all the various criteria of diversity. And the Secretariat would then just be sort of the gatekeeper and look whether all the criteria has respected and make a proposal back to the group of these main -- these panelists would be a half hour session for the panelists to give an introductory session. Then the session would be open to all other participants, obviously to all Dynamic Coalitions who want to join the discussion.

I think that was more or less in a nutshell what we

discussed. Maria, you have your hand up, please.

>> MARIA DE BRASDEFER: Hi. Yes. Thank you Markus. My only question was so you mentioned that we would be able to suggest possible speakers. So I was just wondering if you created a form or some document where we could do this process.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Celine can answer that question.

>> CELINE BAL: Yes, I shared earlier, I'm not sure if you can see the chat, before you joined, but I shared a Google doc. Let me send it again in the chat. But I'll also include this in the e-mail that I'm going to share with you just right after this call where you can start suggesting a few speakers but also Moderators. What's important is that you also indicate the expertise of this speaker. So we can allocate or so that we have a speaker one per subtheme of the Internet we want. It is also indicated in the document as well as putting the name of the person who suggested that speaker in case we have questions we can then come back to you. Thank you.

>> MARIA DE BRASDEFER: Thank you. Thank you, Celine. That's very clear.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Are there any other questions or also suggestions? Maybe it is not the perfect process. Do you see room for improvement? But the main change from previous years is that we really try to reduce the number of panelists. That's been a recurrent criticism and the more focus. The five subthemes are a focus.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Sorry. I was late. This is for the main session. We then have to be either a speaker or the Moderator, Rapporteur, whatever? Is that what we're saying? And we're looking now for both sessions, correct?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: We're looking for, A, for people willing to be the organizing team of the session. They can sign up to the dedicated mailing list and we have given a deadline until Friday close of business. But you can also still join later and we will ask the people who sign up to be organizers, that they also agree on who should be the main organizer or lead.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Right. Exactly.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Or coleads. We ask all of you to come forward with names for speakers and for Moderators, Remote Moderators.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Right. We submitted the main papers for the main session? The organizers will look at that and try to make a session, is that how it is going to work?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That in the end will be up to the organizers -- it was a very helpful start for our planning that we had these. And I don't know -- Celine, can you share again the spreadsheet you had with the feedback for the main themes? I

think that's a helpful starting point. That's the SDGs. I thought the other one, which is -- I mean the SDG -- this is how they relate to the SDGs, each --

>> CELINE BAL: Yes, I was muted and I forgot to unmute myself. This is for Judy because she joined late and for everyone who joined late in this call. What we have done from the information that we received from the Microsoft form that the 21 DCs filled out, we did something comparable to what was done in 2018. Then we've done something comparable with those five subthemes here that each DC had to tick during this form. Here I'm sharing the content from those various forms. So basically this is the content that each DC submitted either via the form or also separate.

And this is a comment that you can see consolidated. I can share all of them with you via e-mail. But we will have at least this -- also not only for the organizers of the main session, of the DC main session but also that will be sent to the DC mailing list. You.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: So back to Judith's question, this is a very helpful input for organizing the main session. We can look which DC is related to this or that theme. And we can also go through the papers and see maybe it would be interested to have a speaker related to that DC on this or on that theme.

Has that --

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Yes. Thanks. So yes, so -- this is Judith Hellerstein. The organizing team will review all this work and then try to center around the themes and figure out the speakers, taking into account diversity and other factors. Okay. So where do we suggest interest? Do we tell you or do we just volunteer on the chat or what?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: If you are interested in being an organizer, you can then subscribe -- the Secretariat will send out an e-mail with -- where you can subscribe to the dedicated mailing list.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Okay. Yes.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: But again if you are part of the organizing team --

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: We can't speak. Yes. So DCAG will be part of the organizing team.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Excellent. And obviously, you know, you can see that some DCs think they're relevant for each of the main themes. But then the organizing theme will also consider that it does not make sense just to have speakers from the same DC throughout the session. So we have also diversity there. But the organizing team can also make suggestions of several speakers. And the overall view, would be the other proposals coming in from the mailing lists, and Secretariat will put the

names together, also just to make sure that there are reasonable criteria respected.

But it will -- the decision will be taken collectively by the organizing team then. But the Secretariat will just accompany the process.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Yes. Thanks so much. Now the speakers don't have to be in person? They could be remote?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: But again then the Secretariat will also have to make sure that we don't have just five remote speakers.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: No, no. Right. If we have the exact amount. So like could be remote. Some could be in person.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Correct. Yes.

>> JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Okay. Thank you so much.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. More questions?

>> MARIA DE BRASDEFER: Yes, I have another question. So yes, I was also wondering if it would be possible, for example, to nominate someone as a speaker that is also -- I know we can't nominate ourselves. In our own coalition we are working with another organization. So I was also wondering if it is possible to nominate someone from that organization that is also part of that coalition or would that fall under -- under that category of people that we cannot nominate for as a speaker?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Personally I can't see any objection to your suggestion. But to be frank we haven't sort of written bylaws to the smallest detail. And I think there is also no need for that. I think we can do that with a lot of common sense. If people you propose don't necessarily need to be members of a Dynamic Coalition. I think that obviously it is understood that you would think, I would have thought that all members of Dynamic Coalitions would be very interested in promoting people close to them. But if you find somebody who is equally or more interesting who is not a member of the -- in the circle, I can't see anything that should be an obstacle. But I'm personally very open to that. And it is also the organizing team who may look at these questions and may then maybe tighten the rules in one way or another or discuss this, whether it makes sense or not. I don't know. Celine, from the Secretariat's point of view do you have any answer to this question?

>> CELINE BAL: In general I would have said that, of course, you can also suggest any other speakers from your DC. Just because you may know very good speakers or someone with a very good background and expertise. And this is also where we would like in one of those columns of this Google spreadsheet that you indicate basically the expertise of the person, that you are also suggesting. And at the end the IGF Secretariat will do a ranking depending on the various criteria, geographical

balance, gender balance, et cetera, et cetera. At the end we will come up with a short list and it will be to the organizers of the main session to select the final five speakers.

>> MARIA DE BRASDEFER: Perfect. That's very clear. And I was also wondering are there any particular sort of like criteria, for example, for the professional background of the possible speakers? Of course, that they have experience in the area of the -- yeah, that pertains to the DC. I don't know that there is an any specific criteria that the person should --

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I think as long as it is relevant to the theme.

>> CELINE BAL: Yes, exactly I would have said the same. As long as it is relevant to the theme. Maybe knows a little bit more about the DC's work. And has again relevance also to the concept note, that we will be sharing the updated one in that case it is more than final.

>> MARIA DE BRASDEFER: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: We have looked a little bit at we said for this coming Friday is the first deadline for signing up to the dedicated list. Maybe we should also look at the other deadlines for the back -- when the final list of speakers, Moderators, Rapporteurs, be ready, Celine? Do you have a common deadline for all sessions?

>> CELINE BAL: No. Because every main session actually is organizing their main session really independently and separately. So it is going to change from main session to main session.

But I would say the earlier the better. So we suggested Friday as the deadline for the organizers -- for the volunteers to act as organizers of the DC main session. And I would say in case it is needed to organize a first meeting beginning of next week in case you deem it necessary to also meet instead of writing via e-mail. And then we could already start in parallel with the suggestion of speakers. And I would say, let me open the calendar, that we would have a list of final speakers by I would say Friday, September 8th.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That would be more or less one month ahead of --

>> CELINE BAL: Exactly. It would give us from Day One and a half weeks.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That's very tight.

>> CELINE BAL: Between today and September 8th? I mean we have one and a half months left until the --

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I know. It is tight. I mean yes, we have to be ambitious. But September 8th, that's a very tight deadline. Well, let's keep it as an aspirational deadline. That really means you have to be up running, the organizing team. And

also anyone that proposing names. We have to get started pretty soon, yeah.

I just wondered maybe could we not anticipate the deadline for joining the dedicated list a bit? Yes, Wout has his hand up.

>> WOUT DE NATRIS: Yes, I think I agree with Celine. We have to find speakers that are willing to come to Kyoto because not everyone can be online as we agreed upon. So we are running out of time already in my opinion. And being someone who is already responsible for four other sessions, I can tell you that -- having been on holiday that time is running fast. So I agree with Celine that this has to be tight in order to be successful.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I agree. My point is yes, we have to start running. Hit the ground running. But should we not maybe anticipate a deadline for setting up the organizing team instead of waiting until the end of this week, maybe have a very tight deadline and say sign up -- you will send out an e-mail summing up the thing. And if we make it a first instead of Friday, you are only getting one day. But it may already make a difference. Then they could have a first meeting on Friday already. You know. We don't have much time. That's my point.

>> CELINE BAL: So how about after this meeting again I'll send a summary. And I can suggest Thursday and then on Friday I send or Thursday close of business, I send a doodle poll that needs to be completed by Friday to organize a meeting beginning of next week? I think this sounds feasible.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Right. One question, Maria. Can we nominate more than one person? It's a good question. But I can't see any reason why not to. I mean you have good ideas. No good people -- the only thing is if the one person nominates 30 speakers, then we cannot have one person filling the hole, all the slots but we have to also look a bit who had nominated this or that person so that we can have again also a balance.

But again my suggestion is let's not to be too restrictive with rules. Let's be reasonable.

And let's assume we're all reasonable people and grownups. And respect each other. And we can also discuss this as we go along. As long as proposals are reasonable, I think let's be flexible. Other questions? I think -- the good thing is we seem to have a plan. But that's the good news. The bad news you haven't got much time left.

So let's get started. That's my suggestion then. And just what Celine has said, really make it so that we hit the ground running. Send out all the various e-mails and doodle polls and let's hope that the organizing team can have a first call early next week.

Does this work for everyone? Okay. I see nodding heads. And

I can hear no objections.

So if that it is back to work, I think. And let's roll up our sleeves, if most of us don't have short sleeves and let's get started. Thank you all. That was a very efficient and effective call. Thank you all. And thank you very much to Celine who has really done the ground work for us to move forward so quickly. Thank you all.

>> Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Bye-bye. Take care.

>> Bye-bye.

>> Bye-bye.

(Call concluded at 1349 CET)

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.
