



IGF Internet
Governance
Forum

IGF 2020

BPF on BPFs

IGF2020 MAG meeting XIV

Tuesday 11 August, 2020

Objectives of the BPF on BPFs

(as per MAG ToRs)



‘document lessons learned, outputs, and where possible outcomes of BPFs organised between 2014 and 2019 and inform the process for evaluating, proposing and approving BPFs from 2021 onwards’



‘develop a systematised evaluation tool, with proposals for metrics by which the MAG could assess BPF proposals and outcomes, as well as provide guidance for best practices on organising and leading a BPF’

Activities

- Outreach to the coordinating teams and key participants of the 26 BPFs organised in 2014-2019;
- Exchange of experiences and observations
 - > dedicated mailing list
 - > 3 virtual meetings
- Feedback on draft versions of the report

Participants

We would like to thank the following people for sharing their experiences with organising and coordinating a BPF and for providing input for the report:

Agustina Callegari, *BPF Gender and Access (2018)*; Anriette Esterhuysen, *BPF Local content (2019)*, *BPF Gender and Access (2019)*; Chenai Chair, *BPF Gender and Access (2019-2020)*; Ben Wallis, *BPF Cybersecurity (2018-2020)*, Brian Gutterman, *BPF Multistakeholder participation mechanisms 2015 and BPF Cybersecurity 2016*; Bruna Martins dos Santos, *BPF Gender and Access (2019-2020)*; Carlos Afonso, *BPF Local Content (2019-2020)*, Concettina Casa, *BPF IoT, Big Data, AI (2018-2019)*; *BPF Data and New Technologies in an Internet context (2020)*, June Parris, *BPF IoT, Big Data, AI (2019)*, Markus Kummer, *MAG coordinator BPF work 2015; BPF Cybersecurity (2016-2020)*, *Facilitator BPF on BPFs (2020)*, Michael J. Oghia, *BPF IPv6 (2015-2016)*, *BPF IXPs (2015-2016)*, Raquel Gatto, *BPF Gender and Access (2018-2019)*, *BPF Local Content (2017)*, Sumon A. Sabir, *BPF IPv6 (2016)*, *BPF IoT, Big Data, AI (2018)*, Susan Chalmers, *BPF IPv6 (2015)*, *BPF Local Content (2014)*, Wim Degezelle, *BPF IPv6 (2015-2016)*, *BPF IXPs (2015-2016)*, *BPF Cybersecurity (2017-2020)*; *BPF Local content (2017-2018)*; *BPF IoT, Big Data, AI (2017-2018)*, *BPF Data and New Technologies (2020)*; *BPF on BPFs (2020)*, Wout de Natris, *BPF CSIRTs (2014-2015)*, *BPF Mitigating Unsolicited Communications (2014-2015)*.

Structure of the Report

Introduction

IGF Best Practice Forums

A BPF on BPFs

Making BPFs future proof

Documenting experiences

BPFs 2014-2019: themes and topics

BPFs 2014-2019: achievements and successes

Organising BPFs: observations, challenges and recommendations

BPF definition and purpose

Selection of topics and BPF modalities

BPF cycle versus BPF 'active' period

Participation and outreach

Expectations and goal

Cooperation with other IGF activities and work streams

Sharing and disseminating BPF outputs / BPF impact

Towards a mechanism supporting the selection of BPF topics

Draft BPF Modalities document

Annexe 1 Overview BPF topics 2014-2019

Annexe 2 ToRs BPF on BPFs

Annexe 3 BPF Toolkit, practical tips and tricks to organise a BPF

Annexe 4 Draft Template for BPF Proposals

Main outputs

Recommendations
and suggestions

BPF modalities
document

Metrics to evaluate
BPF proposals and
outcomes

Examples of observations and recommendations

"There is no consolidated document that explains BPF definitions, procedures and modalities."

"Targeted outreach is of key importance for a BPF"

"It's challenging for a BPF to work through an ambitious program in a short time frame of less than six months."

"The participation of relevant institutions and key stakeholders can give a boost to the BPF."

"BPFs have proven to be successful when their focus coincides with relevant policy discussions"

"BPF participants should be stimulated to take ownership of the BPF."

The assessment and selection of BPF proposals

The BPF on BPFs developed a framework with metrics to assess proposals on three dimensions:

- Is the topic relevant and suitable for a BPF?
(e.g. will it be possible and does it still make sense to collect best practice on the topic?)
- Is a community driven multistakeholder discussion realistic?
(e.g. will the BPF be able to gather sufficient community interest and stakeholder involvement?)
- Predicting the BPF's potential to contribute to enhancing the IGF's impact on Internet governance and policy.
(e.g. Is there a realistic outreach strategy to involve organisations or initiatives outside the traditional IGF community?)

Review and Feedback

The report is available at

<https://www.intgovforum.org/multilingual/content/bpf-on-bpfs>

Feedback can be submitted to bpfs-info@intgovforum.org

We would welcome feedback by 15 September.

شكرا

谢谢

Thank You

Merci

Спасибо

Gracias