

(raw file)

(IGF November 25, 2024. 9 a.m. UTC-DCCG meeting)

(from recorded file)

Services provided by:

Caption First, Inc.

P.O. Box 3066

Monument, CO 80132

719-941-9557

www.captionfirst.com

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: So we still have 5 minutes before start.

>> Very good.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Hello, everyone.

>> Hi, good morning.

>> Good morning.

>> Good morning.

>> Good morning.

>> It's very early for you, June, isn't it? It's easy time for our change.

>> For the first time, police car cuss.

>> For you, normally I think I lost what you do and your commitment. So any time is fine with me. Perfectly fine.

>> I appreciate it your endurance.

>> Always, always.

>> It's not always easy. I mean it's --

>> I think same for you, and same for Jutta and everybody. It's just we know what we do. So I know sometimes my office says we started at 7 o'clock. Last 10:30. This is perfectly fine.

>> In Europe we have the privilege, in the middle between the U.S. and Asia. So it's usually more comfortable for us. Right now it is very early for -- where it's in the America's, yeah. Well let's wait a little bit longer. Hopefully we will get more people. As we are waiting we can tell you the good news we heard from Roman, that the meeting with the MAG is on the last day. You already heard that. Yes, that is excellent news.

>> Markus any news about the Visa, because I know we all have U.S. Visas and Asian so we can get the landing. But at the same time we all applied but it's a very long drawn process. It's been almost like 20 days, no Visa news, nothing.

>> Same for me. Did you apply via that --

>> Yes.

>> The IM Visa?

>> Yes.

>> Same for me. I am waiting now.

>> I tried the dedicated Visa link, and they were supposed to give me a code which I never received. And then I wrote an email to the help desk and they gaffe me a very generic answer. They didn't answer to my question so I tried the regular Visa for tourist Visa. And that worked beautifully. But as it turned out I didn't need a Visa because I was there already last February and that has had multiple entry Visa, which is valid until February of next year.

>> Awesome.

>> Lucky you.

>> Yes.

>> Very lucky. In my case I will get a Visa on arrival. Using the Barbados passport. They said to me I will get my Visa on arrival.

>> I think -- suspicion is the normal Visa works like clock work for Saudi Arabia but they tried to make it special for the IGF participant and they added some new elements which caused glitches in the system, I think. Now this is obviously a question to ask tomorrow to MAG meeting the representatives of the host country whether a normal tourist Visa is okay or whether it really -- I think they tried to make it easier for the IGF participants but in the end it was more complicated. That is my interpretation. Because --

>> Sorry.

>> No, when I applied for a Visa last February for the MAG meeting then, it really -- no problem. It was done. Just instantly, almost. It's really a very good system. But I think they tried to improve on the well functioning system. And instead of making it easier they ended up making it more complicated.

>> There have been a lot of complaints during the last MAG meeting of people who did that tourist Visa process. But then were asked to apply also for health insurance, which was very expensive. So several people then withdrew from that process, being not able to pay 1,000 dollars for house insurance. And it's not the case that are you asked for health insurance when you do that IGF Visa process. There you just have to fill in that form. But nothing happened at all. So maybe I land up also having a Visa on arrival, if it doesn't come in before the 12th. I think I will be traveling on the 12th.

>> Okay. Now the health insurance I didn't -- they didn't ask me. I suppose it depends on the passport you have. If you have a Schengan Visa already.

>> Insurance is not expensive. It's cheap, actually. Everybody it's compulsory to take that --

>> I did see the insurance and it was only around \$20.

>> For me it was 100.

>> I think it depends on your passport.

I'm not sure.

>> But I have travel insurance for the whole world. What is important when you travel with Visa on site, what happened to me in August I was traveling with turkish airlines and I didn't have a Visa so they wouldn't let me on board. A guy heard about that. Because I had a friend from turkey. But they should check. They should know these things. It's like -- the offer is there, please, on arrival. It depends on your passport.

>> But yet I have the letter with me. And that's what convinced me in the end. So 5 minutes before the gate closed and I was the last person allowed to go onboard. And in the middle of the night in Istanbul, no, you're not getting on board.

>> It happened to a friend of mine from Turkey as well. She had problems. She had to get on a flight.

>> If I was lucky I could fly. But it was quite stressful, to be honest.

>> Flying is always stressful with AGF. I'm so lucky. I'm from Barbados, and our passports we don't get any problems. I'm lucky that way. But friends of mine have problems getting Visas and entering countries. I suppose it's because our island is so tiny. They are not threatened by us.

[Laughter]

Excuse the camera, but I just woke up. I don't put the camera on this early.

>> It's very early for you, yes. It's just dark in Barbados. And the birds are singing.

>> Okay. Shall we get started?

>> Yes, please.

>> We can continue the Visa discussion in tomorrow's meeting when they are the representatives of the host country and share our collective experiences. Okay, Roman sent out the agenda. And I think it's more or less the agenda we had the last time around. And that means that we start, I think, with -- well, first of all adoption of the agenda. The agenda as proposed. Is that agreeable to everyone? And if so, we then pass it over to Jutta who is masterfully birding the cats for preparing the main session over to you, Jutta.

>> Thank you, Markus for giving me the floor, and thank you for all of you who have joined the meeting. I'm not the only one who is herding the cats because I have a co-facilitator as well who is also on the call. And we have been busy during the last few days to get everyone on board. And probably we should share the screen to give you some more information about the main session of the Dynamic Coalitions. Roman could you do so and share the Google Doc.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: I share the co-host? If it's okay for you?

>> Yes, okay. So here we are. I hope you can see it now.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Yes.

>> Closing a few of my...

Okay. And I'm going up. We've got several dynamic collisions to give input to the session. I'm scrolling up to show you who is already there. I don't know -- I don't think we have representatives from all the dynamic collisions who signed up for the session here in the call but I'm pretty sure we can discuss further. So we are still...

Let's see. Yes. Here we are. We still have that title contributing to the Global Digital Compact. So GDC is in the focus of our session. And the session will showcase the Dynamic Coalition's

contributions to the five main objectives of the global digital come back. This is all to the sub theme improving governance for the internet we want. We have a short description. I will scroll over that because I do think you are already familiar with.

That.

And we want to have a run-through so we have a short introduction. Then a panel discussion of 40 minutes where each of the five main objectives will be addressed by one speaker for a group of Dynamic Coalitions. Then we will have about 20 minutes for floor intervention, discussing with the participants and conclusions and wrap up. And as we have learned just 5 minutes ago, we will have the meeting with the Mac after the dynamic main session. So I think this will give us some food for thought. How after we had the main discussion after that, we can discuss with the Mac about the role of the dynamic conditions but we will come to that issue further on during our call.

We already have suggestions for moderators. Both will be on site. And it's Amiada deny I don't think he joined our call and Armenia who is not on the call but ready to do the co-moderation together with our model. What we have to do is decide on the five speakers from the Dynamic Coalition community. And we agreed in the smaller proprietary group that it would be preferable to have the speakers on site but if that's not possible we could also have online speakers for one or two of the five main themes.

So let's go on. I can't see -- okay I see Rajenda has his hand up. Please go ahead.

>> Yes, a submission that we should have a backup also for all of those who have agreed. Even for a moderator. Suppose somebody is not able to reach -- just a backup 1 or backup 2.

Just my suggestion, you know. Because last time we had this issue. And luckily no one was there. But a backup would be a good idea to put back in here.

>> Okay. Do we have any suggestions for a backup. I'm a bit cautious because we don't even have the first speaker so far. So probably it won't be so easy. Any suggestions for backup moderators?

>> Let's first go over the speakers. And then do backups in the second round.

>> Okay. I don't think we have Dino from the first group spreading digital divides and progress towards SDGs. And I also do not see any input from the Dynamic Coalition on small island development. And no input from the Dynamic Coalition on public access and libraries. Joel, I remember you wanted to get in contact with them. Did you have any results?

>> Not quite, no. No feedback either.

>> Okay. Then we have the Dynamic Coalition on blockchains and standardization which have given their input. But that's the only one in this group. Anybody else from one of these Dynamic Coalitions here in the call?

>> Hello. Good morning. Good day. I'm actually on the SIDS Dynamic Coalition but I would have to speak with Tracy, he is the leader. I would have to speak with him and see if he is willing to take part in this discussion. So if you you give me a few days and I will get in touch with them.

>> I do think we need to set a deadline today because the moderators will also need some preparation for the moderating role. And it's just -- June, happy to have you here, that a few statements, a few sentences as a statement, how does the Dynamic Coalition work towards an accelerating progress and how does that improve internet governance. That would be it.

>> That's fine. You can put my name for it. You can add my name. Yeah, I was so sweet with him. But you can add my name. I'm representing Barbados on the SIDS.

>> Okay. I can't share and write at the same time. But I will have to put it later in.

>> Yeah, sure.

>> Probably you could fill in June. And we will take care of that. And we are also waiting for public access in libraries. And probably that would be then -- if no other Dynamic Coalition is ready to join the first group. Or is anyone else on the call who -- from another Dynamic Coalition that fits into that first bucket? (?) and accelerating progress. I see Regenda you have your hand up?

>> Yeah, given we have this Dynamic Coalition on the digital economy. I could do that because of the active work that we are doing on the -- you know the inclusion and the job creation both. And of course data closely -- (?)

>> But that's -- that Dynamic Coalition was not sorted to another party.

>> Yeah, I did. As a party of interest I did but from the other -- that is there, yeah.

>> Okay. Then let's go on to the second group. Which is expanding digital economy, inclusion and benefits for all. Here we have Judas Housing as the coordinator of the group. She has already been very busy but can't join the call today so we have input from the Dynamic Coalition of digital and financial inclusion. We do not have the Dynamic Coalition on application and resources. And I don't think they are on the call today. Then we have the digital comedy Namic coalition, having given input. And decat also have given their input. And we have the Dynamic Coalition on the environment. I don't know the people.

Behind that. Anyone on this call?

>> That's me.

>> Okay. Martin. Okay. Good. Then going to the third group which is fostering safe, secure and inclusive digital space that upholds human rights. That is Volt. You are on the call? And me who are coordinating. I have got feedback from the IRPC Dynamic Coalition. They said they would give their input but they haven't.

I have spoken to Minda and hopefully she will give their input. When we have the Dynamic Coalition on children's rights. Having given their input and of course internet standard security and safety have given their input. We haven't heard from the youth coalition, which is a pity because they insisted to be in this group. And I've written to Lucas twice. But he didn't respond.

So maybe we can give those who have not yet reacted only a few days. But by the end of the week we should be complete as anybody else has an idea of how we could do otherwise. Okay. No comments so far.

>> This is -- I don't think you have to set a hard deadline. It's less than three weeks before people start traveling. We have to prepare at some point and decide on who does what and whoever is not willing to submit they are not in the session, period. So they don't get their voices heard. And we have had two months, I think, that people were able to give input on these discussions. If they haven't, it stops somewhere. And we can't be friendly and open forever. Because then we have probably a failed session.

>> I strongly support what Volt said. Why don't we give a 48-hour deadline. If they don't react then they're out.

>> Okay. I do think that's a good idea. That would still give us enough time to prepare for the run-through of the session until the

end of the week so the moderators have at least one and a half weeks before they go traveling. Good.

>> Sorry to be strict but I think we have reached that point.

>> Volt I agree with you because I think you would have followed Evan. So you cannot ignore a couple emails. Minimum four or five emails is too much. One email, second email. Third email. You condition ignore four emails and still come. That also shows that maybe it's getting in junk.

That's one option. But four emails...

>> Yes, you're right Rajindra. Going to the 4th which is a bit difficult. So Amiali has now taken over responsibility but we have not got feedback from any of the dynamic collieses here in this group, nor have they given their input to this session. But the whole structure would fail if we don't have input to all of the five main objectives of the did I Nam -- global compact. Joel, are you still there? Could you report you have spoken to someone who could be the speaker at least. Because I also have written two or three times to Titivik Gregoria who was suggested. She is traveling. I got automatic responses. But she has not reacted to the invitation to be the speaker for this group.

But also someone named Udune as a speaker nor group. So you will be on site. And would you be able to speak on behalf of advancing this responsible and equitable intergovernment governance?

>> Was it me?

>> Yes, I was asking you.

>> Yeah, yeah. I'm not sure if I'm going to be on site. I'm still waiting but, yeah -- even if I'm not on site I can speak remotely.

>> Okay. So since already for -- I think for the first second and for the fifth group we have male speakers I think we would prefer to have a female speaker but Joel you have a suggestion you could put forward.

>> Actually, Jane, I was suggests you as well.

>> That's fine.

>> But I also had a suggestion in case she wasn't available. She will be travelling in person. She's from AGF Netherlands as far as I got to know.

And she has been very involved as well on this topic. I haven't directly asked her yet if she will be available to speak. Because I

wanted to bring the main first in this group before actually starting to reach out directly to people. But this was the other name that was suggested. And, yeah, knowing that she will be traveling in person. That's also the main point for this name as well. Yeah. The name came from the Euro league cluster that suggested the name. So, yeah. That's the context. And I see Volt you also have your hand up.

>> Thank you. Two comments, yes.

I know she is doing quite well and traveling. And probably if you ask her if she is well versed in the topic she will be able to speak because I saw her speak in multiple events in the past two years. One other comments I've been counting the Dynamic Coalitions. Because I thought I was missing one in the list. If I do it very fast I count to 34 and we have 32 Dynamic Coalitions. Is there a deliberate omission of Dynamic Coalition? Perhaps there is more than 24 but I counted quite fast. So we are missing a few?

>> Yes, you're correct. So sorting to the main five objectives and then we had -- during the last Dynamic Coalition coordination group poll we invited all I did Namic Coleys to volunteer and to apply to be sorted to one of the five main objectives. Some came back to us and said yes, we are sorted rightly or we want to be in another bucket. So we sorted some of them. Like the youth coalitions, for example. But not all came back to us.

So we have several Dynamic Coalitions who did not react to any of the requests we sent out via the list or that we uttered during our call. So that's the reason why we don't have them all on board and that's -- like you said before, if no one reacts they are invited to present their work during the main session. Then it's their own decision, I would say. I see Martin has raised his hand.

>> One comment. Because they are not work along with us, apparently or not wishes to do. So but they do have sessions at the IGF as a Dynamic Coalition. And doesn't that come with some sort of an obligation? Just thinking out loud but they are profiting from the time they are given despite the fact that we have much less time to prepare seven ourselves. And then we ask them to work long. And they are not there. So I think that that is something which is not fair to the people who are working hard to present the Dynamic Coalitions as a whole. But do not get enough time to present their own work. Less time, let me put it that way. That's simply not fair.

>> I do think that's one point that we could discuss. But not for the main session we have this year. If making it an obligation that once you have got your Dynamic Coalition session, you are obliged also to contribute to the main session of the Dynamic Coalitions, that could be considered for the Dynamic Coalitions and then we could also

make it an obligation. But I do think it's not a precondition for this year. We should bring that forward to discussions. I'm not sure whether we want to discuss that issue with the Mac in the meeting with the Mac. But I'm not sure it's up to the Dynamic Coalition coordinators to discuss.

>> I wouldn't discuss it with the MAG. This is something we need to do internally. So I think this is something we could discuss in the first meeting probably. Thanks.

>> Martin and then Ragendra, please.

>> As I've been looking at the agenda, it remind me last week for the input. And I provided the input for the -- but provided the survey that was asked in the respective reports and project research and the preferred teams. So one question is can I get the results of that survey? Because I felt them in myself while I was traveling. They're pretty correct. But then secondly, as soon as I have those I will bring them into the fuller form. Because I have not filled in the table further than that. So my apologies for this. Rajendra if you have access to those survey results, it would help me a lot if you could seven it to me so I can fill it into the main table as well.

>> Martin we have sent you the living in the main table. I didn't find that but I was told you were to be contributing and also would be on site.

I didn't see in the form we sent you the link but we have taken that you have already conformed your participating. So I will report when that session comes in. Yeah, yeah.

>> If you go to the form, then it starts with the title.

And then two bullet points. The second bullet point is a survey. And I've been filling in that again. But you don't have that either. Otherwise I will ask --

>> I think Roman would have it, access to it.

>> Yes, Roman could you give all the Dynamic Coalitions access to the results of the survey, please. Probably you could send that around via the list after the call.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Yeah, I can just send it, yeah.

>> I realize that makes me late for this one. But I'm able to fill in some of the gaps because the work has been very much from different perspectives of the five things. So I will get back on that. I will send an email to us all and once I've done that...

Sorry for that.

>> Okay. I see that we have a comment from Ariatle in the chat. From the Dynamic Coalition on incident planetary. I'm not sure punish but taking part is one of the groups it does not need to be based on the report Roberto that your Dynamic Coalition produced beforehand because we have several very young Dynamic Coalitions who have not been working for several years but only for a few months. But still the Dynamic Coalition should have a concept how their work is contributing to the Global Digital Compact and internet governance. If you can bring that into a few words then you are welcome to be a part of the main session as well. But it needs to be sorted to one of the five objectives. Just let us know which of the five main objectives just let us know which one of five objectives fits most with the Interprefy and hopefully we can squeeze you in. I think we already have the link to the form's results now in the chat. Okay. And then we go to the fifth objective which is enhancing global Artificial Intelligence, governance for humanity's benefit. And I hand it over to you, Rajindra.

>> Except for one, all others have responded. If you can scroll down, Martin didn't fill it yet but here is the response. I take it as a response. So we have from him. We have the digital help. Only from the journalism.

One we couldn't get. So thankfully after your email and our followup people ended up responding. Except for journalism, the rest have responded some we need to take in a speaker. Martin would be on site. He is willing to be a speaker too. And of course I leave it to you all to followup with that. So we have it. Still followup with others for data. But the rest we have receipt. We are probably good to go.

>> So maybe we go now from five to four to three. So the other direction.

>> Yeah.

>> So I shall put Martin or you as a speaker for --

>> I leave it to you. Both of us are here. And at your disposal. So up to you. You and Markus. I will leave that option of choice to you.

>> I'm not the one who is picking speakers. The only thing as the coordinators that I have to look at the agenda balanced approach not five speakers we have an agenda balanced couple for moderating. That is very good but then it's up to you.

>> I could do it or Martin. We are both good on any of those. I would leave it up to you. That's okay. The session is success -- as long as the session is successful, that's the main goal I think each

one of us are sufficiently capability of conducting all of these sessions so I will rest my thing.

>> Let's look at the regional balance as well if you don't have too many Europeans or Americans.

>> So if I have to say something, I would say let's go for Martin because Rajendra you have been in the main session of the Dynamic Coalition last year.

>> Effective --

>> And Sabir was speaking last year. So we would not reproduce the same panel speakers if that is fine with you.

>> I'm fine with that. In agreement.

>> Okay. I think a speaker is good to take the input from all the Dynamic Coalitions. And I will get back to them. They have outlined their contribution. It won't be super local time any way.

>> No, it's 7 minutes so it's really, short and you have to summarize.

We will come to how we structure the input for the five speakers in a minute. Just let's go through the other four groups. So for this group, Ardel could you put the name here --

>> I've already added -- but for some reason I think you're not online so you didn't update but it's already in the documents.

>> Okay. Then I have to update.

>> You already are.

>> Yes, I am but -- maybe it works...

So probably.

>> Yes, now are you seeing the people in the document as well.

>> Okay. It's a bit tricky with sharing and then going through the document. So we are now at group --

>> Excellent computer.

>> We are here. And we had the third group which Martin had already suggested Mark Carell. I was considering to have one from your Dynamic Coalition but can you afford to have a female speaker because we also have four male speakers.

>> We don't have one on site, I think. Because everybody I approached of the ladies all said they were there only virtually.

>> Okay. But then probably we could afford to have one speaker gradually in the whole session. If June or Doreen is on site I think Martin can be on site and Dino has volunteered to be coordinator and speaker. So we would have all the speakers onsite. And then we could afford to have one taking part online. And do you have a name for us, Volt?

>> I don't have anybody who has evolved beyond a specific project. Mark is the one who is everything anding on the GDC for us. So I would say that he is really the best versed to do this. And of the lady they lead or sub lead one specific topic. And they don't have anything to do with this coordination group or whatever. So that makes it harder to spend a lot of time to find out about everything. So I stick with Mark on this. And one of the other groups as a good representative.

>> Just to draw graphical balance. It doesn't seem to be very balanced. It's mainly Europeans now with the exception of June.

>> And Dino?

>> Dino is from the U.S.

>> Dino is from the U.S.

>> Yeah. That's not better. It's Europeans and Americans.

>> Yes.

>> I think the speaker from the Dynamic Coalition of the environment, I don't know which one is get. Because Nevish is going to be in Saudi Arabia. So annual digital he could already be -- at least gender balance I understand is the bigger issue, and I agree with that.

>> To be honest I was hoping for a female speaker from the Dynamic Coalition.

>> Maybe she's -- maybe from the environment she could very well represent. That she's a good speaker too.

>> Yeah, but we are looking for speaker for the third part, which is safe, secure and inclusive. We are going to have a look again. I'm going back to the Youth Coalition because I know they have some very good speaker as well. Probably we will get some female from them.

>> And Doreen is Youth Coalition as well. She is not on the buck but a youth representative as well.

>> That could be a good fit if Doreen comes to this and June potentially stays in the other group.

>> Jutta one important thing we have to look at. If we are hosting in Saudi Arabia we are not coming from the middle east here. So if you look at the balance, in the host country we have no one to present which could be a great thing. We should look at that as an option. Can you imagine a host company.

It's a very big region.

>> Okay.

>> The agenda.

Geographically we are representing the whole country. Which is not a great idea. I know all of us have worked very hard and committed to spending time but we see them in their country. It could be a sensitive issue. So if you can get someone to do, that we could be balancing a very important fundamental there.

>> Okay. Thank you for this very important comment. I see that Jual has raised his hand.

>> It's on this idea that Rajindra raised. Female speakers from the region that could potentially be suited based on this topic that was raised. I just added their names to this third block, even though -- they are the no really from dynamic collision I just happened to find their names in the list of participants from the IGF page. Again it doesn't mean they would fit in this third group necessarily. But these are the names I came across that may be reachable. I didn't reap any of these names. It's just a list to see if it makes sense.

>> Yes, may I ask you, Jual to put also Doreen's name here in this bucket, and then we have June in the other. And then we have Dr. Shabir for the second bucket which is already confirmed. And we have Dino who volunteered to be the speaker for the first group. Also maybe we could also -- he is coordinator. So if we find someone from the region who could speak for the first group that might also work. Some of the names that Jual has put in for the third group might also work for the first group. Which is more or less -- digital inclusion is always overwrought for all the thing that the dynamic commissions are doing.

>> I will probably move these to the first group, if you would agree.

>> But if there are not members of the Dynamic Coalition they can't present on the work of the Dynamic Coalitions, in my opinion. It should be somebody from the community.

>> Yeah, we like to push back a bit against choosing random people just because they are from the region. I think they should come from within the Dynamic Coalitions.

>> Just to understand the whole concept of Dynamic Coalition. We know it's a bit difficult for people who have not been working within the Dynamic Coalition or with the Dynamic Coalition. So that might be a challenge for these people that you have found. So so far I don't have an idea how we could solve the issue with having someone from the region in the speaker's role. If none of the Dynamic Coalition has brought forward a name of a person from the region, it's very difficult for us to solve that. Okay. So I still have maybe seen -- I'm closing the chat. We have various inputs from the Dynamic Coalitions who are willing to help running the main session. And we need to bring it to a consistent approach.

It must not be that each of the five speakers goes in the same way through the issues that have been brought together. But in the end we need them to stick to the 7 minutes that they are given and that they address all the issues that the Dynamic Coalitions in their respective buckets have brought forward. What do you think? Do we need to give it more structure -- a more structured approach so that the five speakers with their 7 minutes tomorrow do more or less address the issues in the same way? Or -- we will leave it up to them to structure their own input? Rajerndra. It's your turn.

>> Yeah, if we have a structured approach to present because we are saying what is the Dynamic Coalition's contribution to the team. It would make it easier for people to connect and relate to. If we leave it will be very disjointed and very difficult to relate to what is being discussed. So if you go by the intent we are saying there is a team. How the DCs as a part of there have been contributing to it. So if you are getting very specific for the dividend time it could be very focused in following the same -- you know pattern. If people can relate to it. That's my take.

>> I have somewhat mixed feelings with too straight template for the presenters. But I think to give some guidelines and leave it to some extension they can follow it what they want but they also have the liberty to deviate to bring their own personality and their own reading what have they are presenting. But the idea as such a good but let's not be too strict.

>> Okay. So did I understand right that we should produce such a template for example, say, okay they need to refer to the Dynamic Coalitions who have themselves committed to work toward the respective main objective? So giving a reference to the Dynamic Coalitions they are presenting in their speaking slot? And then afterwards concentrate on the question of how do they work towards data governance or towards safety and security and human rights? So it's a bit formal at least to mention the Dynamic Coalitions that are part of this exercise. And then afterwards going more into details of the respective work.

>> Yeah, and the outcomes that are presented at this IGF, I would say. Because that is also a contribution to -- well, to the Global Digital Compact and to things in general. So I would say that that should be a part of it as well to mention the outcomes. And we have that report from the survey that Martin already mentioned. Which would be nice to see the outcomes of. But I would suggest that that is an important factor for this session -- our contribution to the IGF.

>> Yes, we have another situation that the main session will be at the last day of the IGF. So all the dynamicking coalitions have already been run beforehand. But I do think it's too tricky to bring some of the findings of the specific Dynamic Coalition session then afterwards into this main session.

>> This is what I mean, Jutta. What I mean literally what half the Dynamic Coalition produces here. Which reports or tools or recommendations or policy advisors, whatever we call it, have come out of the whole process of the last year. And that is different from the individual sessions.

>> Yes, but we want it to be an interesting session for the participants to learn something. And would that be the best idea to list? Or we produced this report. They have produced that report? Wouldn't it be a bit more -- it needs to be somehow thought provoking. Not just bringing forward to the chamber what has already been done. But what is -- what are the things they do to bring the Global Digital Compact to life and that is our own contribution to the GDC. Martin?

>> Taking into account a good 5 minutes for the book. It's really important that whoever is going to speak torque read what the others do as well. And contemplate on what that may say. And reach out to what is understood but rather than reporting during the session a list of thing, it's good to have kind of an executive summary instead that tells the story. Where we came from. And maybe also what we looking for, looking forward. Relating to Global Digital Compact. So let's -- it's important to mention achievements but let's not -- let's stay away from lists -- of this Dynamic Coalition on this, and this Dynamic Coalition, and this...

Let's try to make something of the Dynamic Coalitions have been discussing this. These were common themes. These were exceptional themes and this looks like we will look forward going forward. Something like that as a structure for each of the five reports would make sense to me.

>> Yes, Volt has suggested to have a list on the screen the Lynx to report. On the screen we usually have the speakers seen in the room. But we could find a way to bring the links to the participants in the session. Because when I see it on the screen I just can't take a

photo and then go to the website or something like that. I do think the main session is a very good opportunity to invite people to join the work of the Dynamic Coalitions. To work with us towards bringing the Global Digital Compact to life. And we have already dedicated 20 minutes for interaction with the participants in this session. And if we don't give them something that they can ask for, that they can interact with us, then I don't think we will make pretty much use of these 20 minutes of discussion with the participants in the room.

So we need to bring our speakers as well in the position that they mention something that is thought provoking for the people in the room. That they can bring forward and say okay that's what I'm working on. I've heard now there is a Dynamic Coalition on whatsoever and that fits together and what can I do for the Global Digital Compact. So that would be my idea for the session to make it as communicating as possible. And that would be also my request to those five speakers that they not only present what has been done but open up the floor to what can be done in the future for the Global Digital Compact. And probably -- I don't know but it's just -- this is just an idea to me. Probably we could ask the speakers --

>> (Inaudible)

>> June, did you want to come in or with you on the phone?

>> I any she's on the phone. Maybe we could ask the speakers one or two seconds -- so they they initiate interactions with the speakers and the people on the floor to make it as -- yes. Not only informative but also interactive session.

>> I totally agree, Jutta but still I think it's important that people actually see what has come out of the intersessional process and how important the intersessional process is in 20225, you see people offscreen but sometimes you get some thing onscreen which we can show these are the reports that have been produced without mentioning them because we do what we do. And and then later -- at least this is what some of these are going to do in 2025 and that's where the thought provoking is assisted as well because then people say, hey well that's exactly what I want to be a part.

Without mentioning it in the speeches or the contributions. But we have the opportunity to show what we have done and what we are going to do.

And I think that is extremely important. Because that is what we are -- what we are on earth for is to say in such a way. Because that is our end result.

>> Volt I do think we could also benefit from the booth and the IGF village for this purpose.

>> Of course.

>> We have learned from that manual for the exhibition that at the booth -- at every booth we will have a screen. And you can produce -- like a PowerPoint slide show like a list or anything that will be shown on the screen during the whole time at the IGF village and probably we could use that as well as a point of dissemination of the outcomes that we already have produced. We know that -- you won't be there for every day at the booths, me neither. None of the Dynamic Coalitions will be there for the whole time. So only in those hours when you are not at the booth it would be very preferable and beneficial for the Dynamic Coalition to have, like a few slides on the screen so that people who come to the booths will see the work that has been done. And it might be much easier to take it from the booths. And also I recommend that we have -- like an advertisement for the main session of the Dynamic Coalitions which could also be one slide that is shown regularly on that screen so that during the week people will learn that on the third day there is the main session of the Dynamic Coalition which is focused on the Global Digital Compact where the Dynamic Coalition will present their work to the GDC and there will be time so we can use that also as a point of dissemination. Does it make sense?

>> I would do both.

>> Hmm?

>> I would use the session because people just walk in there. And we do -- logically at the booth we do that sort of thing but we have to organize it. Because it's something that has to be continuously playing there. It's something we need to start organizing as well because people will have to start sending in links to reports or whatever they have and plans.

>> I'm going to that in a minute. Martin has raised his hand.

>> Yes, I'm just remembering the -- creation, God created the dry, land, sea and vegetation. A bit of awareness it's a shame it's the end of the week because we can't lure people in the village to our booth. But it would be good to have PowerPoint any way, even if at least there's a title and there's a title for succession, and then the last slide could be a listing of these are reports produced by the Dynamic Coalition over the last year. And we don't have to read that slide but we know that the slide presentation can be added to the agenda, right? So people can download it. And that's a good way. Because the point -- writing that it's almost work done by the Dynamic Coalition receives sponsorships and for sponsorships it's nice to see it's getting the attention it deserves. So maybe that's the way forward. Then the list is there. And the list is not replacing the valuable time we have for summaries.

>> Right. That's exactly what I mean, Martin.

>> Thank you, Martin. So I do think -- I'm looking to Jual, I think the one or two slide we can do to advertise the Dynamic Coalition' main slide at the booth. That is something we can produce in advance. Nonetheless, from that menu for the exhibition I've learned that at each booth we have can have -- you just bring your presentation or your slide show on the stick and it will be shown on the booth screen during the days of the IGF. So I do think that all Dynamic Coalitions are invited to produce their slide show and the more we get the better. So people when they come to the booth they will learn about the Dynamic Coalitions work not only from maybe flyers or whatsoever. We will have at the booths but also from these presentations. And I'm not sure Roman if you have more information at the booth.

>> We are working on. That and Michael will support us with design. So we will find the contents and very soon I will send you the draft.

>> Could you explain a little bit more.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: We have already discussed it's a very simple one with just -- how to say it, publicly a little bit of information about the DCs from -- like one page. On the second page, QR codes to didn't pages of the -- of all the DCs. So that one can just take it one list. So from one side to see their description, what is the DCs. Most interesting information. And the next sort of side of the same page is QR codes to different DCs. Unless you have some other ideas. We can still adjust.

>> So if you have the QR codes to the Dynamic Coalitions that means if someone can scans the QR code they will end up with the presentation of the Dynamic Coalition.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: On this particular page of each Dynamic Coalition.

>> Okay, so if Jualt would put the Lynx to the reports they have produced on their IGF Dynamic Coalition website then people will also be referred to that resources by the person.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Again it's all in our handle you just tell us how you wish to make it. We can do it. It's not a problem. We can do many different QR codes of everything. I was just thinking about something really concise.

That's why -- as I said first major just basic information. But the most interesting and catchy. And the second page name of Dynamic Coalition and QR code so people interested in health care go directly there. And interested in blockchain go directly there and so on.

>> Yeah, I think that's a good idea. Nonetheless, I always prefer to have personal contact. So if we could put beneath the QR code only -- also a photo of those people who are on site that would make it much easier, that people can approach the representatives of the Dynamic Coalition saying okay --

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: I don't think in terms of -- sorry to interrupt. But I don't think it's an easy exercise. Because now to request people who are available to request their pictures of quality. It will take us much more time.

>> Okay.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Or what we can do is have a chat where each representative response IBM for the booth will be. An open chat. So if people need to reach out to a certain representative they can scan the QR code and enter the chat. So let us discussion it with our colleagues I think we can set up something like this to ensure easy onsite communication.

>> Okay. Very good.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Okay.

>> So we have now already only left 30 minutes and I think we need to go to the preparation for the meeting that we will have on the 4th day of the IGF. Markus, may I hand it over to you at that point? Because you already had an idea for an agenda for the meeting with the Mac.

>> Thank you, Jutta. Yes, indeed be I thought -- but I that was based on the discussion that meeting with the Mac would be on day zero. So it would be good to promote IGF main session which suggests what the DCs could have to the common agenda. But if that's not the case any more, if we have the meeting on the last day, well we could still stock of the DC main session. Also ask for the Mac's reacts. They thought how well we did. And as we said earlier one of our main objectives will be to make a good impression to the Mac. So we as D Cs collectively make a good impression. So I think that may be a good starting point that we ask the Mac, what do you think of the main session if it is after the main session. And I did produce a draft agenda, which I shared with Roman. Can you actually show it in the chat.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Yes, just a second.

>> And then we could look at the integration of the DCs -- yes, there it is in the chat. The relations of the DCs with the MAG and the role of the MAG which has been ongoing discussion. Roman and Celine said they would reach out privately to find a MAG liaison but I think it would nevertheless be useful to have a discussion what

exactly is expected from the MAG liaison so we have a common understanding of the function of the MAG liaison. In the past it was not very clear. And we had not been -- for a year now we have not nearly all together. And I think that will be a useful function to have and also to have some common understanding.

As a further agenda item I thought the integration of the DC intersessional activities into the annual IGF meeting which has also been an ongoing story and has been raised by the '21 report. The questions raised there still remain valid. I think we have improved a bit but it's still open issue to be further improved. And then it was suggested at our last call that we actually take the strategy -- vision paper of the AGF strategy group and look into it -- how the DCs can fit into that. And in particular pick up the role of the DCs in GDC implementation and also in the +20 environment. So that I think in my view would give a fairly full agenda, full-time for thought and for discussion. If anything it might already be too much on the agenda. But the floor is open for discussion. And I can see Jutta has her hand up. Please, Jutta.

>> Yes, thank you, Markus. Now that we have the meeting of the MAG I think the second point and the fifth point, role of the DCs and the GDC somehow overlap. Because the whole main session is about the role of the DCs and the Global Digital Compact. So probably we need to bring these two issues somehow together. It would have been different if we had the meeting with the MAG before our main session but now that it's --

>> That's an excellent suggestion we could have it as the second -- I mean the first substantive agenda item to have the feedback of the MAG on how they see we succeeded in presenting a coherent proposal for GDC implementation. Martin and then Volt.

>> I think this would be an opportunity to give the kind of first summary of the results of our session by the -- (?) somebody would feel comfortable having heard what happened yet.

A short introduction. It's a good discussion about this topic. What would be striking if MAG members say I'm sorry I wasn't there. But I think that link will be there. And it's a great opportunity to focus first on the work before we go any further.

>> Thank you, yes. Excellent. Volt?

>> Thank you, Markus. On the GDC implementation, as I said last time that the lady from the U.N. was implementing discussing the general of the GDC, sorry. Said that DCs were going to be a major important part of the implementation. And we need to understand A, what that means but that is something that the MAG won't tell us what

the UN may be able to do. So but that does bring up our relations with the MAG in a more serious way. What exactly is expected from DCs? Does it change the way DCs work or does it change the way they are addressed? That's something we need to find out in 2025 and something we need to discuss with the MAG but it also mean Wes may get a different relationship with the MAG. And this is a very serious topic in my opinion. If the U.N. Has expectations that what they are talking about but as DCs we don't know what they are discussing. So that is something we they'd it find out but also the MAG need to understand, A the discussion is ongoing but B, exactly know what is to expect from DCs and how that could be a part of the programme of 2025. Because we are going to bring -- thanks to Lily Striaun. But on the other hand what is the MAG going to do with that? So the points in the agenda are very important but it might have a slightly different angle because of U.N. expectations. Thanks.

>> Thank you. I'm not sure what I get is -- that was an answer to your question. What somebody from the office of the tech said. But I don't think that was the result of a big concept they have in New York of the DCs. I think if you ask somebody do we have a role to play. If you are polite you say obviously yes, it's a very important role. But I don't think they have given much thought on what that role could be. And I think it would be very much for the DCs. And that's what we are trying to do with the main session. To define what we can actually bring to the table. The whole implementation issue is still fairly open issue. And I think everybody is jockeying for position. I attended a plus 20 consultation, the ITU held. And that is also very much the idea. This forum should put itself in a position that they should be ready for GDC implementation. So I think -- you know if we just wait what they tell us to do, then we wait forever. But if we actually say we can do that and we ask for a role, then we have more chance of being given a meaningful role. But I mean -- this is a very much in line with what Jutta and Martin also said. Let's have this discussion. And let's build on the DC main session. And then the timing -- okay. Tomorrow there is a MAG meeting and we can present. We have mentioned it before. We will have a session with the MAG. And we can say. And also encourage the MAG members present at tomorrow's meeting. Put it on your agenda to attend the DC main session. And we are going to discuss about it. And it fits in the broader scheme. It fits into the scheme also of what the IGF strategy group is discussing. And how the DCs can actually put their part in that. I think in that sense I feel we are very much on the same page. You know, take this as the main building block for a meeting with the MAG. And the idea that someone should present a little bit -- as suggested by Martin. And then also asked for the feedback of the MAG, what they think and how we can fulfill that role, should make for a meaningful discussion.

Are there other comments on that? Or are we all on the same page on that? Can we take silence for agreement and revise then the agenda accordingly? And present it in broad outlines at tomorrow's MAG meeting? Jutta will present the concept of the main session as it is as of now. And I can then briefly present the proposed agenda item as we have it -- as we have discussed it now.

>> Markus I have a small caveat. I have a board meeting tomorrow at the same time. But we will have elections. So I hope I can bring both together but it might be the case that -- when it's the process of these elections that I have to step out of the MAG meeting. I will let you know in advance but it might be the case.

>> Yes, but we can handle, it yes.

>> Thank you. And I have seen that Martin has put a potential agenda point in the chat. How to prepare for the next IGF. Only six months later. Yes, it's only six months.

>> That is an overarching item forever the whole IGF. It's incredibly short planning period, yes.

>> It might make it even more difficult to find a liaison between the MAG and the Dynamic Coalitions. But the more we can offer, that we bring something forward to the next IGF as well as to the GDC, then we might get the attention that we want for the Dynamic Coalitions. I was wondering --

>> It's -- if I may, it's more difficult, but also more important.

>> Correct.

>> Because you don't have time for going up and down all the time.

>> Yes.

>> It will make us more focused by the very nature. Sorry I interrupted.

>> Yes, it might be useful to have for the MAG meeting an overview on all the Dynamic Coalition sessions that we have in the internet governance pool just to be aware of how many of the Dynamic Coalitions have been able to produce a session concept. We could also focus in the meeting the MAG on this process that we have more collaboration among Dynamic Coalitions than having 32 single Dynamic Coalitions who go straightforward their own way. But much more collaborative process this year than we had before. And also the work in the main session is giving evidence of the collaboration among Dynamic Coalitions and that would be useful to mention in the meeting with the MAG.

>> Definitely, yes. Thank you for that. Well, with that may we move on to the next agenda item. That would be the DC item and the brochure. The brochure overlap to the previous discussion on the DC

main session. But Roman asked -- we said at the last meeting we would like to be -- to a large extent, self-organized mainly because the Secretariat simply doesn't have the capacity to organize everything. But you said you would send out some kind of it -- where they can fill out their availability or where are we with the planning of the village booth?

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Yes. Let us think a bit more on this. Especially with this new idea of the chat. So I guess it will all come in the same email.

>> Okay.

>> Roman, I just chicked on the link you put in the chat for the survey but it only came to the form I can fill in. I don't come to the results. So we need to spread the results of the survey to Dynamic Coalition.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: It was Martin who shared the link.

>> Okay.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: So it's another one.

>> Oh.

>> I shared the link to the form I filled in which is the third paragraph in the document we shared earlier on the Dynamic Coalition.

>> So Roman could you send us also, too, the Dynamic Coalition a list of the results of the survey.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Okay. Yes, I will.

>> Okay, great.

>> Thank you. And with that we come to the last agenda item which is any other business. Is there anything -- any other business? Yes, Martin.

>> Maybe not everybody knows, but the IGF -- in Norway on the 27th of June.

Most of us will know. It's worth mentioning I think.

>> Yes. Thank you. Yes. Lillestrom is not far from Oslo. There are excellent links with public transport.

>> And I understand it's closer to the international airport in Oslo. That's what my son tells me.

>> And Norway is fantastic. The nordic mid-summer is lovely.

>> And other news I heard from a good source that 2026 will be in Indonesia.

>> Will be where?

>> Indonesia.

>> Indonesia again. Okay.

>> It's not confirmed officially but that's what somebody told me in on some processes internationally.

>> Okay. Oliver with his hand up?

>> Thank you very much, Markus. Regarding the next IGF, have we already thought about a calendar -- as the MAG released a calendar for the workshop, et cetera. Because this is going to be extraordinarily compressed, isn't it in.

>> Correct. No, I don't think the MAG has approached this question yet but it will be -- well I think it will be discussed also at length in Riyadh and how to -- as you said, the process is very compressed. And there will be no time for a hibernation. I think we have to burst into action. Maybe not on January 1st, but January 2nd or 3rd almost. These are all valid comments. Anything else? If not, thank you all. And I hand it back to you, Jutta for closing the meeting formally.

>> Thank you, Markus. Thank you all for taking part of the meeting we have agreed to set 48 hours deadline for Dynamic Coalitions to bring forward their input to the Dynamic Coalitions main session. So that would mean that on Wednesday we will close everything and finalize the work on the main session. So to inform the moderators as well. We have made a lot of plans. Thank you so much for taking part. And continue going on. Probably we will see each other in the MAG meeting tomorrow. Bye-bye, everyone.

>> Bye-bye.

>> Bye-bye, everyone.

>> ROMAN CHUKOV: Bye-bye, everyone.

(end of recording)

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.

(IGF November 25, 2024. 9 a.m. UTC-DCCG meeting)