

Dynamic Coalition Coordination Group (DCCG)
Virtual Meeting | 29 April 2026
Summary Report

The meeting focused on reviewing recent high-level developments, shaping Dynamic Coalitions' (DCs) substantive input into the 2026 IGF programme, taking stock of annual reporting, agreeing on ground rules for webinars, and addressing practical next steps. The call was moderated by one of the DCCG co-facilitators (the other co-facilitator was unable to attend).

I. Adoption of the Agenda

The agenda was adopted as proposed (Annex I). The list of participants is attached as Annex II.

II. Overview of recent developments (EGM, CSTD)

Participants received an update on two recent meetings.

The Co-facilitator reported on the Expert Group Meeting (EGM) held in New York two weeks earlier. The discussion was described as positive and productive, with a clear sense that the IGF, now holding a permanent mandate, should reset its working methods. Key themes included the need for a multi-year strategic agenda, better integration of intersessional activities (including DCs), and openness to structured input from DCs in shaping the annual IGF programme. Some participants in the EGM had questioned the number and real-world impact of DCs; the bottom-up, self-constituted nature of DCs was strongly defended. The draft EGM report will be circulated by the Secretariat.

The IGF Secretariat informed the group that the Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD) resolution, adopted the previous week, again positively referenced Dynamic Coalitions as part of the broader IGF ecosystem. This builds on the DCs' successful input into the 2025 General Assembly resolution – a collective achievement that was warmly acknowledged.

It was further noted that UNGIS (the UN Group on the Information Society) is taking on a larger role in coordinating WSIS and Global Digital Compact follow-up. A draft coherence roadmap has been published, and a proposal was made to create a multi-stakeholder consultative group linked to UNGIS. Participants agreed that DCs should closely monitor these developments and ensure their work is visible in those processes. The IGF Secretariat confirmed it will work with UNGIS and correct factual inaccuracies in the roadmap.

III. DC input into planning for 2026 IGF

A central item was the development of substantive, cross-cutting thematic input from DCs to the new MAG.

The Co-facilitator recalled the ambition to move beyond individual DC session requests and collectively identify broad, high-relevance issues that can shape the IGF 2026 programme. A [Google Doc](#) had been opened for this purpose.

Several concrete proposals were put forward:

- A representative of DC-BAS highlighted fighting government fraud, waste and abuse using blockchain and AI, as well as post-quantum cryptography, as areas of broad interest.
- A representative of DC CRIDE proposed age restrictions for minors' access to the digital environment – an issue that touches on inclusion, platform responsibility, disability rights and connects to multiple DCs. It was recommended as a potential main-session topic.

The branding of DCs was discussed following comments in the chat. The IGF Secretariat clarified the appropriate wording: *“Dynamic Coalition [name] recognized by the United Nations Internet Governance Forum”*. The direct use of “UN IGF DC” or the UN emblem is not permitted. The group accepted this as a workable and elegant solution.

Participants agreed to continue filling the Google Doc before the next meeting, keeping entries concise and focused on issues of general relevance. The aim is to have a consolidated set of proposals ready to present to the MAG at its first meeting. The suggestion of informal DC representation on the MAG was noted as part of the broader effort.

IV. DC Reports – state of play

The IGF Secretariat provided an update on annual reports. While most DCs had complied, nine DCs had not submitted a report: DC Blockchain, DC on Data and Trust, DC on DNS Issues, DC Gender, DC Interplanetary, DC Digital Inclusion, DC Public Access and Libraries (CPAL), the Digital Teens Coalition (DTC, temporarily suspended) and the Youth Coalition. The Secretariat intends to archive non-reporting DCs after 1 May.

A constructive discussion followed on transparency and the visibility of inactive groups.

- It was suggested that inactive DCs should not disappear but be tagged as inactive and kept visible (e.g. in a collapsible “Past DCs” section) – both as an incentive to reactivate and as an honest record.

- Participants were urged to reach out through personal contacts where leadership changes or organisational pressures might have caused a reporting lapse.
- The group endorsed the Secretariat’s proposal to maintain a drop-down menu of past DCs on the IGF website, ensuring they remain discoverable without inflating the active list.

All active DC members were encouraged to review the internal tracking spreadsheet shared by the Secretariat and update missing information.

V. Ground rules for Webinars

The group reviewed modalities for DC-organised webinars to strengthen quality, visibility and coordination.

It was re-affirmed that webinars intended to carry the IGF/DC branding should be planned through the DCCG and supported by the IGF Secretariat. This ensures proper scheduling, promotion through official social media channels, provision of human captioning, and archiving on the IGF YouTube channel. A webinar held outside this framework illustrated the risks of fragmentation.

Key points:

- Human captioning will be provided for DCCG-coordinated webinars (the monthly DCCG coordination calls will not have regular captioning).
- Two weeks’ advance notice to the DCCG and Secretariat was agreed as standard.
- Caution was expressed about over-regulating the word “webinar”; smaller, work-oriented calls without Secretariat support could still exist, provided they are clearly distinguished from the officially branded “IGF DC Webinars”.
- A one-page toolkit explaining how to request a Secretariat-hosted webinar was proposed; the Secretariat will prepare this.
- One DC informed the group that it holds regular professional webinars and will share the schedule in advance to foster cross-DC participation.

The group agreed that the Secretariat-supported model adds significant value in terms of reach, accessibility and archival quality.

VI. AOB

- Capacity building and the educational role of DCs: It was highlighted that DCs collectively function as a powerful “educational arm”, producing open-source learning, webinars and publications. Linking this to the IGF’s growing focus on capacity building was strongly welcomed.

- DC strategy/action plan 2026: The IGF Secretariat proposed drafting an updated strategy and action plan document for the DCs, reflecting the new permanent mandate. This would help present a coherent narrative to the MAG. The Secretariat will prepare a first draft for group feedback.
- Next meeting: The Secretariat will circulate a Doodle poll to schedule the next DCCG call approximately one month later, taking into account the likely timeline for the new MAG.

Next steps

1. All DCs: Fill in the [Google Doc](#) with substantive input on themes/issues of broad interest for the overall IGF 2026 programme (by the next meeting or as soon as possible).
 2. IGF Secretariat: Follow up with the nine DCs that have not submitted state-of-play reports and encourage submission before 1 May to avoid archiving.
 3. IGF Secretariat: Update the DC website to ensure inactive DCs are visible (e.g. via a drop-down menu or clear “Past DCs” section), not removed entirely.
 4. All DC members: If you know a correct contact for an inactive DC, reach out personally to encourage report submission and inform the Secretariat of updated contacts.
 5. IGF Secretariat: Start work on an updated 2026 strategy and action plan for DCs and share a first draft with the group for input.
 6. All DCs: Inform the DCCG and the IGF Secretariat at least two weeks in advance of plans to host a webinar; coordinate with the Secretariat for support, promotion and captioning.
 7. IGF Secretariat: Develop and circulate a one-page toolkit for DCs on how to request and organise a Secretariat-supported webinar.
 8. IGF Secretariat: Ensure webinar recordings and outcomes are published on the IGF website and create a dedicated section for these materials.
 9. All DCs: Reserve the dates for the next IGF meeting in Nairobi (December 2026) and plan for participation.
 10. IGF Secretariat: Address accessibility concerns for the Nairobi venue as raised by participants; take note of the 2011 experience.
 11. IGF Secretariat: Correct the inaccurate reference to IGF 2027 in the UNGIS roadmap and coordinate with ITU as appropriate.
 12. IGF Secretariat: Share the internal tracking spreadsheet with the group for review and updates; all DCs are requested to check their participation records.
 13. IGF Secretariat: Send out a Doodle poll to schedule the next DCCG meeting (approximately one month from now).
-

Annex I – Agenda

1. Adoption of the agenda
2. Overview of recent developments (EGM, CSTD)
3. DC input into planning for 2026 IGF
4. DC Reports – state of play
5. Ground rules for Webinars
6. AOB

Annex II – List of Participants

Name	Affiliation
Markus Kummer	Co-facilitator
Roman Chukov	IGF Secretariat
Dr. Rajendra Pratap Gupta	DC Digital Health Digital Economy
June Parris	DDHT, SIDS
Sivasubramanian Muthusamy	DC-CIV
Maarten Botterman	DC IoT, IS3C
Minda Moreira	IRPC
Avri Doria	DC-SIG
Judith Hellerstein	DCAD
Eleni Boursinou	DC OER
Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond	DC-CIV
Anriette Esterhuysen	DC MAG Liaison
Eugene Morozov	DC-BAS
Stefan Fischer	Internet Society German Chapter

Torsten Krause

DC CRIDE

Tracy F. Hackshaw

DC-SIDS

Tatevik Grigoryan

DC-IUI

Amado Espinosa

DDHT
