Reply is within,

On Tue, Jan 17, 2017 at 4:39 PM, IGF <IGF@unog.ch> wrote:

Dear All,

The Secretariat would like to kindly remind all IGF stakeholders that they are invited to contribute to the [**IGF's annual stocktaking,**](http://www.intgovforum.org/multilingual/content/igf-community-public-consultation-call-for-inputs-taking-stock-of-the-2016-work-program-and) by submitting inputs on the following questions:

A) Taking Stock of 2016 programming, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the 11th annual IGF: What worked well?

- The moderators of workshop has an important role in engaging remote panelists and remote participants.  The workshops that I intervened with them remotely did a great work to make the remote participants feel that they are part of the session.

  ​

What worked not so well?

​- The workshop application form is not user friendly to first time user.

B) Suggestions for improvements in 2017? (programming, preparatory processes, community intersessional activities and improvements for 12th annual meeting)

​The MAG evaluations and selection of workshops must be done blindly. MAG members should not have any access to any personal identity of names or organizations.

All inputs should be sent to **takingstock@intgovforum.org** by **22 January 2017.**Please limit your submissions to no more than 4 pages single-spaced in MS Word, PDF or applicable format. Submissions will be uploaded to the IGF website.

In addition, all are invited to give their feedback on the [**new session types - lightning or unconference -**](http://www.intgovforum.org/multilingual/content/igf-2016-new-session-formats) held for the first time at the 11th IGF.

​You must make sure that lightning/ unconference topics are not repeated in any of the workshops.  It is important to avoid any redundancy.  ​

​

​Nadira ALARAJ​