



Results

Survey 554745

Number of records in this query:	11
Total records in survey:	11
Percentage of total:	100.00%



Field summary for A


Zero rating practices can conflict with the net neutrality rationale, which promotes an open and generative Internet. When zero rating practices are associated with reduced data caps, they orient user experience towards a limited selection of applications. Therefore, some zero rating models can reduce Internet openness, transforming Internet users, who can easily access and share innovation, into passive application consumers.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	10.00%	10.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	1	10.00%	
5 (5)	8	80.00%	90.00%
No answer	1	9.09%	
Arithmetic mean	4.5		
Standard deviation	1.27		
Sum (Answers)	10	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for A

Zero rating practices can conflict with the net neutrality rationale, which promotes an open and generative Internet. When zero rating practices are associated with reduced data caps, they orient user experience towards a limited selection of applications. Therefore, some zero rating models can reduce Internet openness, transforming Internet users, who can easily access and share innovation, into passive application consumers.





Field summary for AA [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for AA [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for AA [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for AA [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for B


Existing examples of regulatory implementation of network neutrality enforcement at national level, together with the analysis of co-regulatory and self-regulatory theories of implementation and capture, help evaluating the effects of traffic management practices on users. The comparison of various multistakeholder consultations on zero rating suggests the need for a framework offering a toolkit for zero rating regulatory practices.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	1	100.00%	
5 (5)	0	0.00%	100.00%
No answer	10	90.91%	
Arithmetic mean	4		
Standard deviation	0		
Sum (Answers)	1	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for B

Existing examples of regulatory implementation of network neutrality enforcement at national level, together with the analysis of co-regulatory and self-regulatory theories of implementation and capture, help evaluating the effects of traffic management practices on users. The comparison of various multistakeholder consultations on zero rating suggests the need for a framework offering a toolkit for zero rating regulatory practices.





Field summary for BB [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for BB [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for BB [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for BB [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for C


The implementation of net neutrality protections by States in Latin America (and elsewhere), when oriented by a respect for fundamental human rights, can lead to more just and sustainable policies and outcomes than when it is not. Invoking human rights norms to promote and protect net neutrality is a better-suited strategy than prevailing approaches.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	10.00%
2 (2)	1	10.00%	
3 (3)	1	10.00%	10.00%
4 (4)	2	20.00%	
5 (5)	6	60.00%	80.00%
No answer	1	9.09%	
Arithmetic mean	4.3		
Standard deviation	1.06		
Sum (Answers)	10	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for C

The implementation of net neutrality protections by States in Latin America (and elsewhere), when oriented by a respect for fundamental human rights, can lead to more just and sustainable policies and outcomes than when it is not. Invoking human rights norms to promote and protect net neutrality is a better-suited strategy than prevailing approaches.





Field summary for CC [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for CC [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for CC [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for CC [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for D


Zero rating is at the heart of the current debate over the future of the free and open internet. All around the world, advocates, tech companies, users and regulators are debating this issue. Zero rating practices offer free access to some portions of the internet but not all. Such offerings foster unequal access.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	10.00%	10.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	1	10.00%	
5 (5)	8	80.00%	90.00%
No answer	1	9.09%	
Arithmetic mean	4.5		
Standard deviation	1.27		
Sum (Answers)	10	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for D

Zero rating is at the heart of the current debate over the future of the free and open internet. All around the world, advocates, tech companies, users and regulators are debating this issue. Zero rating practices offer free access to some portions of the internet but not all. Such offerings foster unequal access.





Field summary for DD [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for DD [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for DD [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for DD [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for E


In general, zero rating represents an economically efficient form of competitive price discrimination, which benefits all elements of the Internet ecosystem. By providing consumers with an alternative pricing framework, it draws marginal users into the Internet ecosystem. At the same time, it creates a new option for both ISPs and edge providers to differentiate their products, thereby enhancing competition.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	3	30.00%	50.00%
2 (2)	2	20.00%	
3 (3)	1	10.00%	10.00%
4 (4)	1	10.00%	
5 (5)	3	30.00%	40.00%
No answer	1	9.09%	
Arithmetic mean	2.9		
Standard deviation	1.73		
Sum (Answers)	10	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for E

In general, zero rating represents an economically efficient form of competitive price discrimination, which benefits all elements of the Internet ecosystem. By providing consumers with an alternative pricing framework, it draws marginal users into the Internet ecosystem. At the same time, it creates a new option for both ISPs and edge providers to differentiate their products, thereby enhancing competition.





Field summary for EE [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for EE [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for EE [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for EE [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for F


Both “edge” firms and network operators make large investments to establish platforms with relatively low marginal costs and increasing value with each additional user. Zero rating can help bring new users into a firm’s customer base, enhancing the value of the product, and providing additional revenues to defray the cost of developing the next innovation—making zero rating a win for consumers, network operators, and edge providers alike.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	3	30.00%	50.00%
2 (2)	2	20.00%	
3 (3)	2	20.00%	20.00%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	3	30.00%	30.00%
No answer	1	9.09%	
Arithmetic mean	2.8		
Standard deviation	1.69		
Sum (Answers)	10	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for F

Both “edge” firms and network operators make large investments to establish platforms with relatively low marginal costs and increasing value with each additional user. Zero rating can help bring new users into a firm’s customer base, enhancing the value of the product, and providing additional revenues to defray the cost of developing the next innovation—making zero rating a win for consumers, network operators, and edge providers alike.





Field summary for FF [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for FF [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for FF [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for FF [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for G


Algorithms are increasingly regulating fundamental aspects of our lives in an unchallenged fashion. In the light of the consequences that algorithms may have on Internet traffic management, information management and big data analytics, they do not seem sacrosanct enough to be unchallenged. Transparency is therefore needed.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	9.09%
2 (2)	1	9.09%	
3 (3)	1	9.09%	9.09%
4 (4)	5	45.45%	
5 (5)	4	36.36%	81.82%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.09		
Standard deviation	0.94		
Sum (Answers)	11	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for G

Algorithms are increasingly regulating fundamental aspects of our lives in an unchallenged fashion. In the light of the consequences that algorithms may have on Internet traffic management, information management and big data analytics, they do not seem sacrosanct enough to be unchallenged. Transparency is therefore needed.





Field summary for GG [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for GG [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for GG [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for GG [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for H


The new European net neutrality rules, based on a democratic law-making process, provide a solid basis for maintaining the Internet as an open and non-discriminatory platform. The goal of net neutrality should be to preserve the value of the Internet for upcoming generations. In this regard, guidelines on crucial issues such as zero rating, reasonable traffic management and specialised services can be very helpful.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	9.09%	9.09%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	1	9.09%	9.09%
4 (4)	1	9.09%	
5 (5)	8	72.73%	81.82%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.36		
Standard deviation	1.29		
Sum (Answers)	11	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for H

The new European net neutrality rules, based on a democratic law-making process, provide a solid basis for maintaining the Internet as an open and non-discriminatory platform. The goal of net neutrality should be to preserve the value of the Internet for upcoming generations. In this regard, guidelines on crucial issues such as zero rating, reasonable traffic management and specialised services can be very helpful.





Field summary for HH [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for HH [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for HH [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for HH [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for I


As more than half of all data consumed on a typical mobile subscription is advertising, users increasingly employ ad blocking to control data costs. Ad blockers can be provided at the network level or can be web and browser-based. Some say that network blockers should be banned. Policymakers should be mindful of the consequences of net neutrality rules. The needs of content and application providers should not be prioritised over those of end-users.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	18.18%
2 (2)	2	18.18%	
3 (3)	5	45.45%	45.45%
4 (4)	1	9.09%	
5 (5)	3	27.27%	36.36%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	3.45		
Standard deviation	1.13		
Sum (Answers)	11	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for I

As more than half of all data consumed on a typical mobile subscription is advertising, users increasingly employ ad blocking to control data costs. Ad blockers can be provided at the network level or can be web and browser-based. Some say that network blockers should be banned. Policymakers should be mindful of the consequences of net neutrality rules. The needs of content and application providers should not be prioritised over those of end-users.





Field summary for II [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for II [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for II [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for II [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for J


Over the past years, several countries have adopted net neutrality frameworks with the aim of preserving a fee and open Internet. Telecommunications regulators usually enforce such frameworks. However, empirical observation shows that several Internet Service Providers may keep on implementing unduly discriminatory practices, despite the adoption of net neutrality laws. National regulators should enforce net neutrality guidelines in order to protect Internet user's rights, with particular regard to human rights.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	10.00%
2 (2)	1	10.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	1	10.00%	
5 (5)	8	80.00%	90.00%
No answer	1	9.09%	
Arithmetic mean	4.6		
Standard deviation	0.97		
Sum (Answers)	10	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	11	100.00%	



Field summary for J

Over the past years, several countries have adopted net neutrality frameworks with the aim of preserving a free and open Internet. Telecommunications regulators usually enforce such frameworks. However, empirical observation shows that several Internet Service Providers may keep on implementing unduly discriminatory practices, despite the adoption of net neutrality laws. National regulators should enforce net neutrality guidelines in order to protect Internet user's rights, with particular regard to human rights.





Field summary for JJ [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for JJ [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for JJ [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	2	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for JJ [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for K


What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Civil society (A1)	2	100.00%
Private sector (A2)	0	0.00%
Technical community (A3)	0	0.00%
Academic community (A4)	0	0.00%
Governments (A5)	0	0.00%
Intergovernmental organisations (A6)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%



Field summary for K

What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?





Field summary for L

To submit, sign the form by entering your name. Names will be publicly available.

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	100.00%
No answer	0	0.00%

ID	Response
37	Manuela Peralta
40	Vrikson Acosta www.linkedin.com/in/vrikson-acosta www.twitter.com/Vrikson_Acosta