

# Welcome! Mr. IGF Secretariat

<b>ID</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Grade (1-5) with 5 being the highest</b>
1	Internet sustainable development	- ▼

Developing Country	New Proposer	Internet Economy, Openness, Policies Enabling Access	Civil Society
--------------------	--------------	--	---------------

Briefly and clearly provide any additional comments, in particular if you have scored this proposal 3 or below and would like to identify deficiencies (maximum 250 words, the part exceeding 250 words will be truncated).

Possible to merge - Please provide a list of IDs of which proposals to merge with (e.g. 3,123,212)

## Description

The sustainability of Internet development, which to make it more open and accessible to everyone.

[For the complete information about this workshop please \*\*click here\*\*.](#)

If you decide on a score of three (3) or below for a proposal, please tick the reasons among those listed below (multi-selection).

- The proposal is either (a) not well thought-through or (b) incomplete.
- The proposal is not relevant to Internet Governance.
- The proposal does not include either (a) a list of proposed speakers, participating individuals and organizations, or (b) a description of how different stakeholder perspectives will be represented.
- The workshop description is not consistent with the format listed.
- There is no diversity amongst the participants (gender, geography, stakeholder group, perspective).
- The description does not clearly specify the Internet Governance problem/question/challenge to be addressed during the workshop.
- The proposal does not include a well-considered plan for remote participation.

2	Journalism in the Age of Leaks	- ▼
---	--------------------------------	-----

New Proposer	Freedom of Expression Online, Digital Literacy, Openness	Private Sector
--------------	--	----------------

Briefly and clearly provide any additional comments, in particular if you have scored this proposal 3 or below and would like to identify deficiencies (maximum 250 words, the part exceeding 250 words will be truncated).

Possible to merge - Please provide a list of IDs of which proposals to merge with (e.g. 3,123,212)

## Description

Being a journalist and editor myself, I am interested in various problems that so called "leaks" present for our profession. How should we approach leaks and leakers, should there be legal rules, what is the correct moral stance etc.

[For the complete information about this workshop please \*\*click here\*\*.](#)

If you decide on a score of three (3) or below for a proposal, please tick the reasons among those listed below (multi-selection).

- The proposal is either (a) not well thought-through or (b) incomplete.
- The proposal is not relevant to Internet Governance.
- The proposal does not include either (a) a list of proposed speakers, participating individuals and organizations, or (b) a description of how different stakeholder perspectives will be represented.
- The workshop description is not consistent with the format listed.
- There is no diversity amongst the participants (gender, geography, stakeholder group, perspective).
- The description does not clearly specify the Internet Governance problem/question/challenge to be addressed during the workshop.
- The proposal does not include a well-considered plan for remote participation.

3 SIDS Roundtable: Death, Disaster & the Internet



Infrastructure, Access, Connectivity      Technical Community

**Description**

Small Island Developing States (SIDS) comprise just under 60 countries and territories which are some of the most vulnerable places on the planet. The 2004 Indian Ocean "Boxing Day Tsunami" affected many Small Islands within the larger countries of Thailand, Indonesia and India as well as Sri Lanka and the Maldives - resulting in hundreds of thousands of casualties and missing and billions of dollars in property and infrastructural damage. In the Caribbean country of Haiti on 12 January 2010, within a few minutes, a 7.0 magnitude earthquake resulted in a death toll of well over 150,000, many thousands more injured and causing widespread and catastrophic devastation to property and infrastructure throughout the country - in particular, the most inhabited and populous capital of Port-au-Prince. SIDS have had a long and devastating history with hurricanes/cyclones with many thousands of lives lost, billions of dollars in property and infrastructural damage in dozens of countries & territories such as Vanuatu (2015), Grenada (2004) and Jamaica (1988).

Briefly and clearly provide any additional comments, in particular if you have scored this proposal 3 or below and would like to identify deficiencies (maximum 250 words, the part exceeding 250 words will be truncated).

What is increasingly clear is that Information & Communications Technologies (ICTs), Broadband & the Internet (in particular) are BOTH affected by & IMPORTANT IN natural disasters, search & rescue efforts and both the immediate and medium-term recovery efforts in the the aftermath.

How can we change or improve the current approach to Broadband, Wireless technologies and the Internet - in general within SIDS - to both mitigate against infrastructure failure and assist with reducing the enormous human and financial cost of disasters?

Possible to merge - Please provide a list of IDs of which proposals to merge with (e.g. 3,123,212)

This year's Roundtable will bring together activists and experts from the SIDS regions to discuss, explore and find solutions to these questions.

[For the complete information about this workshop please click here.](#)

If you decide on a score of three (3) or below for a proposal, please tick the reasons among those listed below (multi-selection).

- The proposal is either (a) not well thought-through or (b) incomplete.
- The proposal is not relevant to Internet Governance.
- The proposal does not include either (a) a list of proposed speakers, participating individuals and organizations, or (b) a description of how different stakeholder perspectives will be represented.
- The workshop description is not consistent with the format listed.
- There is no diversity amongst the participants (gender, geography, stakeholder group, perspective).
- The description does not clearly specify the Internet Governance problem/question/challenge to be addressed during the workshop.
- The proposal does not include a well-considered plan for remote participation.

4 Digital Equality for the rights of the Developing Nations



Developing Country      New Proposer      Access and Diversity, Internet & ICTs for the      Civil Society

Briefly and clearly provide any additional comments, in particular if you have scored this proposal 3 or below and