Name: Kennedy Rubben
Organisation: ICT4DNETWORK Organization
Country and Region: African Group
Date of Submission: 21/12/2019

Input to the Call for inputs for 2020 and taking stock of 2019

1. Taking Stock of the 2019 programming, outputs, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the event itself: What worked well? What worked not so well?

1.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG meetings etc.)

The preparatory process was not well organized Inclusivity should be practical seen

1.2 Community intersessional activities (Best Practice Forums, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs - please comment on process, content, and in particular on how these intersessional activities were included in the programme content of the Berlin IGF.

Best practice was perfect The dynamic coalition was not fair

1.3 IGF 2019 overall program structure and flow (in particular the three thematic tracks: digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience)

Digital Inclusion worked well

1.4 IGF 2019 programme content: Please comment on the content of workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, BPF, DC and NRIs sessions, as well as on the speakers and quality of discussions.

All was well organized only need the attention of inclusivity

1.5 IGF 2019 participants

No, follow up of the program, this time we need to improve

1.6 IGF 2019 village

perfect organized

1.7 IGF 2019 communications, outreach and outputs (add relevant link here)

on time, I do appreciates

1.8 IGF 2019 logistics (venue, catering, security, registration etc.)

Well organized

1.9 Any other comments on the IGF 2019

Collaborations were much needed

2. What are your suggestions for improvements for 2020?

2.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG and OC meetings etc.)

MAG should involve and include African's NRIs coordinator

2.2 Community intersessional activities (BPFs, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs and how they can best connect with the global IGF.

Were all good but with little follow-up

2.3 Overall programme structure and flow (introductory and concluding sessions, main and other sessions, schedule structure etc.)

Great

2.4 Do you think there should be thematic tracks as there were in 2019? Please indicate if you believe the three 2019 thematic tracks should be retained (digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience). If not, what should take their place or what theme should be added?

Data Governance

2.5 Programme content (workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, speakers)

Surely

2.6 IGF 2020 Participants

ALL

2.7 Any other comments on the IGF 2020

See u in 2020 IGF Polan