

Name: Avis MOMENI
Organisation: PROTEGE QV
Country and Region: African Group
Date of Submission: 02/01/2020

Input to the Call for inputs for 2020 and taking stock of 2019

1. Taking Stock of the 2019 programming, outputs, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the event itself: What worked well? What worked not so well?

1.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG meetings etc.)

The final workshop selection came a little bit late

1.2 Community intersessional activities (Best Practice Forums, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs - please comment on process, content, and in particular on how these intersessional activities were included in the programme content of the Berlin IGF.

There were not a sufficient allocated time for most of the activities

1.3 IGF 2019 overall program structure and flow (in particular the three thematic tracks: digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience)

For me the three thematic seems to be linked and transversal.

1.4 IGF 2019 programme content: Please comment on the content of workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, BPF, DC and NRIs sessions, as well as on the speakers and quality of discussions.

African Union Open forum had not more emphasized on what criteria african countries government suppose to be met to apply for PRIDA project fund or support.

1.5 IGF 2019 participants

Many african participants was refused to obtain their visa travel

1.6 IGF 2019 village

Very nice place

1.7 IGF 2019 communications, outreach and outputs (add relevant link here)

good

1.8 IGF 2019 logistics (venue, catering, security, registration etc.)

very good

1.9 Any other comments on the IGF 2019

Well noted medical assistance presence

2. What are your suggestions for improvements for 2020?

2.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG and OC meetings etc.)

Workshop selection should be communicate on time

2.2 Community intersessional activities (BPFs, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs and how they can best connect with the global IGF.

Relate to NRIs, regional igf secretariats should communicate on time with their respective countries and urge for their participation/attendance on time for the whole process.

2.3 Overall programme structure and flow (introductory and concluding sessions, main and other sessions, schedule structure etc.)

Apprieciated

2.4 Do you think there should be thematic tracks as there were in 2019? Please indicate if you believe the three 2019 thematic tracks should be retained (digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience). If not, what should take their place or what theme should be added?

As i was saying earlier, the three thematic is linked and transversal as you cannot share with one without related to the two other thematic.
We need to communicate with many other main thematic that should necessary include inclusion, data and security.

2.5 Programme content (workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, speakers)

Appreciate.

2.6 IGF 2020 Participants

I appreciate the free visa initiative taking by German government. This should be encouraged for other IGF host countries coming in the future.
It is confuse that when a participant(mostly african participant)has a clear document and identifying support organization proof for his travel and return, stay during the event, that some embassies still require the participant sufficient personnal financial provision during the visa application process.

2.7 Any other comments on the IGF 2020

According to my own view on NRIs session, many african government representatives was absent. there for, African regional secretariat should put in place a mechanism to ask these governments the raison of their absence. This process can give opportunity to promote PRIDA project.