



IGF 2020
First Open Consultations and Multistakeholder Advisory Group (MAG) Meeting
14 - 16 January 2020
United Nations Office at Geneva (UNOG), Switzerland

Executive Summary: Announcements, Decisions Taken and Next Steps:

- *A wide-ranging discussion by the meeting's participants reflected on the strategic direction of the IGF. This took into account the community contributions into the annual stocktaking process, as well as remarks made by the 2019 host country. The discussion resulted in concurrence over the need for prioritizing IGF outcomes and a thematically structured programme.*
- *In connection with the above, MAG members identified a focused framing for the 15th IGF programme around three priority thematic areas: (1) Inclusion, (2) Data, and (3) Trust. These will further be validated with the community through an open, consultative process ('Call for Validation of Issues'). The community will also be asked to further advise on the integration of the evolving and emerging priorities, such as the environmental issues, climate change and digital economy.*
- *Four Best Practice Forums (BPFs), on 'Cybersecurity', 'Big Data and AI', 'Local Content' and 'Gender and Access', were renewed for the 2020 cycle. Two additional proposals are under consideration for placement with other existing intersessional work mechanisms. These relate to Internet business/economic models and Implementation of Internet standards and protocols. A 'BPF on BPFs' was approved as an initiative to assess the BPFs and develop strategy for long-term improvements.*
- *IGF Secretariat will assess if there is a need and capacity for hosting two more face-to-face MAG meetings.*

Overall Summary

1. The [First Open Consultations and MAG Meeting of the 2020 IGF preparatory cycle](#) took place from 14 to 16 January 2020 at the United Nations Office at Geneva (UNOG), Switzerland. Over 220 participants [registered](#) for the meeting. Online participation was made available for those who were unable to join in person. Ms. Anriette Esterhuysen moderated the meeting as the newly appointed Chair of the MAG, together with Ms. Wanda Buk of the Ministry of Digital Affairs of Poland, as the Host Country Co-Chair for 2020. Mr. Chengetai Masango represented the IGF Secretariat and Mr. Wai Min Kwok represented the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA).

2. The [agenda](#) for the three-day meeting focused on setting the broader strategic priorities for the IGF in 2020, through the traditional process of [taking stock](#) of the prior IGF annual meeting and cycle of intersessional activities and reflecting on development of the global digital cooperation mechanisms. [Transcripts](#) and [video recordings](#) from the proceedings can be accessed via the [IGF website](#).

3. The 'Open Consultations' day of the meeting opened with the MAG Chair introducing the agenda, followed by the remarks from Mr. Kwok on behalf of the DESA's Division for Public

Institutions and Digital Government (DPIDG/DESA), the institutional home of the IGF. Mr. Kwok informed about the [message](#) sent by the UN Under-Secretariat-General of Economic and Social Affairs, Mr. Liu Zhenmin, published on the IGF website. Printed copies were distributed to present participants.

4. The Host Country Co-Chair said to be honoured to co-chair the 2020 IGF process. It was added that the Polish Government is also honoured to host the 15th annual IGF meeting for which the preparations are already underway. These remarks were followed by the IGF 2019 Host Country representative, Mr. Rudolf Gridl, that highlighted some of the key success points of the 14th IGF, recalling the record participation of more than 4,000 stakeholders from over 160 countries. Participants were reminded on a successfully implemented new programme concepts, such as the legislators track; travel support to the Global South; engagement of the SMEs from industrial and tech sector; and the high-level leader's segment.

5. The meeting continued with an IGF 2020 Host Country's visual [presentation](#) of planning objectives for the 15th annual IGF. The presentation, available at the [IGF website](#), reflected to a vibrant nature of the host city Katowice and available facilities, including the proximity of the international airport and accommodation facilities; as well as the overall capacity of the IGF 2020 venue (International Congress Centre). It was announced that a Steering Committee was formed to work on organization of the high-level leaders meeting shaped around the theme of Digital Economy, Inclusion and Diversity and (Eco)Smart Cities and Environmental Change. The legislators/parliamentarian track will be also continued as in IGF 2019. The Host further informed about plans for programme activities focused on youth engagement, including a youth competition under the patronage of the Polish President, as well as establishment of the national Polish Youth IGF initiative. Participants were informed that the IGF 2020 will be held during a bigger conference on cybersecurity in Katowice and that host does not foresee for the IGF 2020 to be negatively influenced in any way by this parallel event.

I. IGF 2019 Feedback and IGF 2020 Improvements

6. The IGF Secretariat briefed on its [synthesis](#) of the annual [stocktaking contributions](#). The summing up by the IGF Secretariat noted the community's gratitude to the German Government for hosting and helping to organize a very successful meeting last year. Contributors also underlined the importance of the high-level leaders track, efforts invested in youth engagement and supporting the participation from the Global South countries. Several inputs noted as positive various output documents produced and supported a continuation of this practice. Comments also focused on positive welcome of a structured programme across the three main themes. Suggestions for improvements related to the need for a continued effort in rising the high-level participation, other underrepresented groups, strengthening of linkages with the Dynamic Coalitions (DCs) and National, Regional and Youth IGF initiatives (NRIs).

7. As per tradition, the IGF community had been asked to share their thoughts on the meeting organization, programming and intersessional work of the 2019 cycle, as well as to make suggestions for improvements in 2020.

8. In the ensuing open discussion on the IGF's improvements, participants noted that a thematically structured programme with policy questions was helpful for the session application process as well as the overall programme navigation. Many welcomed the outcome-oriented process and called for continuation in 2020. Transparency in the workshop selection process could be improved. Some suggested that the IGF could invest more efforts in marketing of the produced outputs. It was believed that the IGF could communicate more effectively gathered best practices on topics of wider community's relevance. Several stakeholders underlined the importance of attracting political visibility through in-person participation of the high-level stakeholders, including the UN Secretary-General and the head of the Host Country

Government, but also through discussing politically relevant topics such as climate change. A meaningful engagement of the legislators was underlined as a major process improvement that should be continued. An in-depth collaboration with the intersessional work communities, including the DCs, as well as with the NRIs, should be enhanced. Finally, some suggested to create better linkages with the discussions hosted on Day Zero and during regular meeting days, given the Day Zero's growing significance in terms of discussed topics and strategic participation.

II. Digital Cooperation Mechanism *(complete report for this section with recommendations available [here](#)¹)*

9. The afternoon of the first day focused on the IGF's engagement with various stakeholders, including the representatives of Geneva based permanent missions to the UN. Discussions developed around the IGF 2019 outcomes, its improvements and role for global digital cooperation, reflecting on the [Report](#) of the UN Secretary-General's High-Level Panel on Digital Cooperation (HLPDC). Participants were addressed by Mr. Fabrizio Hochschild, the Under-Secretary-General for preparations for the Commemoration of the Seventy-Fifth Anniversary of the United Nations. The USG Hochschild briefed that a consultative, multistakeholder process on the HLPDC report and its recommendations is underway. It was informed that for each recommendation a multistakeholder follow-up groups are formed. From the USG's Hochschild team, it was added that these groups are open and participants were invited to join. Also, a contact email address was shared in case there will be specific questions on the follow-up work (digitalfeedback@un.org). A core objective of these consultations is to understand the community's views on the state of digital cooperation and to provide a roadmap for the UN Secretary-General regarding the global digital cooperation and UN's role looking forward. The roadmap is expected to be developed by April 2020.

10. Participants continued to discuss the role of the IGF for digital cooperation and specifically focused on the fifth recommendation of the HLPDC Report related to the global digital cooperation mechanisms. Several stakeholders underlined the IGF's potential for evolving toward a more advanced mechanism for global digital cooperation that could close gaps identified in the report. A feasibility of the IGF Plus model implementation was noted, due to IGF's current structure and mandate, and it was stressed that the IGF should build on its current status to become relevant for all stakeholders. For example, the German Government in its role of the IGF 2019 Host Country, invested efforts in supporting meaningful engagement of legislators into the IGF process, as well as effective participation of the Global South countries, that hopefully will result in these structures becoming more interested in the IGF processes. Several inputs noted that there are a number of multilateral processes on digital policy that do allow for community's involvement, but that however, the value of the IGF is that it provides a broad space for the community to set the agenda and shape the programme.

11. Some participants noted that other mechanisms for digital cooperation described in the HLPDC Report are not exclusive one to each other and that it is worth of taking the best from all to build a strong mechanism. Further discussion developed on stakeholders advising how to ensure the political relevance of the IGF, without becoming a norm-setting process? Several proposals were shared as a potential way to have advance the IGF, including through a more enhanced role of the NRIs and developing global discussions on channelled local inputs. Several stakeholders advised that the IGF should focus on a more narrowed and concrete set of issues that would allow for specific subjects to be recognised and good practices identified. More

¹ Full report for: Consultation on the follow-up on the UN Secretary-General's High-Level Panel on Digital Cooperation convened by the MAG Chair supported by the Government of Switzerland
https://www.intgovforum.org/multilingual/index.php?q=filedepot_download/9615/1986

specifically, the high-level leader's segment could conclude the thematic issue-oriented discussions on the last day; or the opening ceremony could identify issues, sessions throughout the meeting days could address their various aspects and the closing session day could identify clear discussion outcomes on mapped issues. The programme strategic role that was called for by several stakeholders was seen by some to stay with the MAG in its strengthen capacity, rather than to establish new bodies. Finally, it was said that the IGF should be institutionally strengthened, with its outcomes being clearly communicated across the UN system and other important international processes.

Some suggested for the IGF to set up a repository of documents that could better display the valuable outcomes it produces annually and with that better serve to the community.

12. Following several questions related to the process of developing the roadmap, the co-champions of the Report's fifth recommendations explained practically how they plan to run the consultations to ensure a broad range of views feed into the follow-up process. Namely, in addition to regular calls, the co-champions will host workshops at some of the bigger international events (*e.g. some possible events are: Freedom Online Coalition in Accra, Ghana; South by Southwest conference in Austin, Texas, US; World Summit on the Information Society here in Geneva, Switzerland; Technology and Innovation for the Sustainability Development Goals in New York, US; RightsCon, San Jose, Costa Rica*). The consultations will result in a final output document/position paper to be submitted to the UN Secretary-General

II. Programme Structure

13. The second day of the meeting, which marked the first formal day of MAG discussions, opened with brief introductions from all MAG members and updates from a number of Internet-governance related entities on their work and its relevance to the IGF. Statements were made by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), European Broadcasting Union (EBU), the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN), the Internet Society (ISOC), and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO).

14. The IGF Secretariat followed by reminding on roles and responsibilities of the [MAG members](#) and its [Chair](#), while the DESA representative reminded on the IGF's mandate set in the [Tunis Agenda, paragraph 72](#), its project objectives and an annual reporting mechanism through the CSTD and ECOSOC to the General Assembly whose deliberations conclude in a form of a Resolution. The latest GA [Resolution 74/138](#) was referenced and participants were asked to review the unedited version posted on the IGF website.

15. The IGF Secretariat also presented a proposal for the [IGF 2020 Timeline](#). There was a suggestion to allow for more time for workshop evaluations, as well as to host one more face-to-face meeting of the MAG. The IGF Secretariat will further consult the MAG to explore the necessity for hosting the third face-to-face meeting, and will propose a second version of the timeline, giving more time for workshop evaluation, taking into consideration the final outcomes of this meeting and process milestones.

16. The Chair invited MAG's advice on concrete improvements for the IGF 2020 programme, including the introductory and concluding track; programme structure and intersessional work. In order to streamline this discussion, the IGF Secretariat presented a list of [actionable points](#) extracted from the [IGF 2019 Taking stock Call](#).

17. Working in breakout groups, the MAG communicated three possible proposals for thematically structuring the IGF 2020, underlining as important that the 15th IGF addresses the emerging issues, such as climate change and environmental issues, as well as digital economy,

as advised by a number of stakeholders during the taking stock process. There was a broad agreement that the 15th IGF follows the three-thematic tracks' approach as during the last year's IGF, with slightly simplified wording for each track. Therefore, it was agreed that the IGF 2020 programme would be structured along the three main thematic tracks worded as: 1) Data (*adapted from 2019's Data Governance*); 2) Inclusion (*adapted from 2019's Digital Inclusion*); and 3) Trust (*adapted from 2019's Security, Safety, Stability, Resilience*). While there was an agreement that the IGF 2020 addresses the suggested themes on environmental issues and climate change, as well as digital economy, the MAG did not reach a consensus on how to integrate these issues into the programme. One MAG breakout group suggested to have an additional, fourth thematic track introduced, possibly titled as environmental or sustainability or climate change issues; while another breakout group suggested that these issues are introduced as horizontal and cross-cutting issues or possibly to introduce these issues as sub-theme(s). Taking into account that the Taking Stock call received only forty-two inputs, it was agreed that the three agreed thematic tracks should be confirmed with the community through an open process, as well as to have confirmed the community's support for the emerging/environmental and digital economy issues. The process will be called: 'Call for Validation of Thematic Tracks'. The results of this Call will be a base for the MAG to decide on a modality for including the initially suggested issues into the programme. The MAG also agreed that the thematic narratives and policy questions for each thematic track will be developed. There was also a support for implementing an introductory and concluding track as during the IGF 2019.

18. For the above mentioned Call for Validation of Thematic Tracks, the timeline was agreed, with the IGF Secretariat's design of the Call and MAG's review to be done by the 22nd January; Launch of the Call to be on 23 January for the next two weeks (by 6 February) and MAG's analyses and development of thematic narratives to conclude in early March, to allow for the launch of the Call for Workshops, as per the initial [Timeline](#).

III. Community Intersessional Work

19. Third day of the meeting focused on strategic planning of the intersessional work for 2020. The MAG noted the need for strengthening the programme's linkages with the overall intersessional work, including the BPFs and DCs, as well as with the NRIs. One concrete proposal was that all DCs and NRIs respond to the Call for Validation of Thematic Tracks, to ensure these network's priorities are reflected into the programme. The IGF Secretariat NRIs Focal Point committed to communicate this proposal to the NRIs and suggest that NRIs determine topics for their 2020 sessions through this call. Also, it was suggested to encourage the NRIs communities to create their profiles on the [IGF Resource Persons List](#) and with that help the session proponents to identify possible session speakers from certain regions of the world.

20. The IGF Secretariat reminded that six proposals for the IGF 2020 intersessional work were received, including four proposals for the continuation of the last year's BPFs; one proposal for a new BPF and one other proposal from a community member, all available at the [IGF website](#). The MAG Chair invited all proponents to briefly outline the key objectives of their submitted proposals and invited MAG members to advise on the composition of this year IGF's intersessional work.

21. The MAG approved the renewal and continuation of four BPFs from last year's IGF cycle. Namely, the approved BPFs for IGF 2020 are: 1) [Cybersecurity](#); 2) [Local Content](#); 3) [Gender and Access](#) and 4) [Big Data and Artificial Intelligence](#).

22. Regarding the proposal for a new [BPF on Internet Business/Economic Models](#), as well as a community-led proposal on [implementing Internet protocols and standards](#), the MAG advised

for these to explore other existing intersessional work mechanisms for their implementation. Namely, the proposal for the [BPF on Internet Business/Economic Models](#) could explore an option to take the form similar to the past intersessional work on Policy Options for Connecting and Enabling the Next Billions (CENB) or it could develop as a documented preparatory work for a main session; while the proposal on [implementing Internet protocols and standards](#) could channel its work through a dedicated Dynamic Coalition, subject to adherence to DC criteria and recognition of the IGF Secretariat.

23. The MAG's discussions extended beyond the 15th IGF cycle and focused on long-term strengthening of the intersessional work. It was noted that the intersessional work could be interlinked between the IGF cycles and ways for BPFs annual assessment could be explored. The MAG Chair approved establishing a 'BPF on BPFs' to facilitate the process of the BPFs strategic improvements, chaired by Mr. Markus Kummer.

24. In light of the call for BPF improvements, all above six mentioned intersessional work proposals (approved and pending) were invited to resubmit advanced versions of their proposals in the next two weeks. The Chair specifically noted that that improvements to the proposals should refer to the key objectives, work strategies and benefits these intersessional work components plan to bring to the IGF 2020. The two pending proposals for the intersessional work were specifically invited to adjust their outlines to the intersessional work forms the MAG advised for these to take.

IV. MAG Working Groups

25. Participants was reminded that during the IGF 2019 cycle, five open working groups were led by the MAG. All five provided brief updates to the MAG, including the [Working Group on Fundraising \(WG-FUN\)](#); [Working Group on IGF Improvements \(WG-IMP\)](#); [Working Group on Outreach and Engagement \(WG-OE\)](#); [Working Group on Workshop Process \(WG-WSP\)](#); and an [Ad-hoc Working Group on Reporting \(WG-Reporting\)](#). The MAG Chair invited all groups wishing to continue their work to submit work proposals in the next two weeks for MAG's review and approval. It was suggested that the WG-Reporting merges its work with the WG-OE.

26. Considering the timeline of the planned launch of the Call for Workshop Proposals, the WG-WSP was invited to consider shorter timeline for submission of the work plan, as well as to communicate improvements to the workshop process of the IGF 2020 cycle in the coming days. The co-facilitators of this WG briefed participants that a feedback survey was launched last year, after the end of the 14th annual IGF meeting and presented its consolidated results in a form of a visual presentation. Based on this feedback, which to a good extent is satisfactory, the WG will suggest improvement to the workshop process this year.

Next Steps

27. The meeting closed with the understanding that further discussions on these items would [advance through upcoming virtual meetings](#). The MAG will continue to hold virtual meetings on a regular basis, as it did in 2019, approximately every two weeks.

28. The IGF Secretariat communicated a list of actionable points for MAG's consideration a day after the MAG meeting concluded with suggested timeline, as well as the proposal for the Call for Validation of Thematic Tracks.

List of Participants (as registered)

MAG Chair			
Ms.	Esterhysen	Anriette	APC
Host Country Co-Chair			
Ms.	Buk	Wanda	Undersecretary of State, Ministry of Digital Affairs of Poland
MAG Members (onsite)			
Ms.	Abdalla Mahamoud Ali	Alaa	Telecommunication and Post Regulatory Authority of Sudan
Mr.	Afonso	Carlos	Nupez Institute
Ms.	Canales Loebel	Maria Paz	Derechos Digitales
Ms.	Cassa	Concettina	Agency for Digital Italy
Ms.	Chair	Chenai	Research ICT Africa
Ms.	Chalmers	Susan	National Telecommunications and Information and Administration (NTIA), US Department of Commerce
Mr.	Charlton	Paul	International Telecommunications and Internet Policy Directorate, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)
Mr.	Chukov	Roman	Federal State Budgetary Science Institute of Economy of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Ms.	Chung	Jennifer	Dot Asia
Ms.	Croll	Jutta	Stiftung Digitale Chancen
Ms.	Edoh	Afi	E-hub and Afrotribune
Ms.	Galstyan	Lianna	ISOC Armenia
Ms.	Glavor	Natasa	CARNet
Mr.	Gridl	Rudolf	Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy of Germany
Ms.	Harsianti	Juliana	Global Voices
Ms.	Jallow	Adama	Give1 Project Gambia
Mr.	Ji	Zhaoyu	Permanent Mission of China to the United Nations Office at Geneva
Mr.	Khanal	Raj Ananda	National Telecommunication Authority of Nepal
Mr.	Markovski	Veni	ICANN

Ms.	Parris	June	Halaqah Media
Mr.	Regoje	Nebojsa	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Bosnia and Herzegovina
Ms.	Rontal	Mary Rose Ofianga	Womenpowered Institute
Mr.	Rowney	Paul	Africa Information & Communication Technologies Alliance (AFICTA)
Mr.	Sibul	Heiki	Estonia Internet Foundation
Ms.	Suto	Timea	ICC Basis
Mr.	Tao	Xiaofeng	Consultative Committee on ICT for United Nations, China Association for Science and Technology; Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications
Ms.	Teleanu	Sorina	SEEDIG Executive Committee
Mr.	Tungali	Arsène	Rudi International
Ms.	Uduma	Mary	Jaeno Digital Solutions
Mr.	Wallis	Benjamin	Microsoft
Ms.	Astbrink	Gunela	International Center for Disability Resources on the Internet
Ms.	Banial	Dalsie	National Regulator Vanuatu
Ms.	Dahmani	Wafa	Tunisian Internet Agency ATI
Mr.	Espinosa	Amado	Medisist Inc.
Mr.	Attoumani	Karim Mohamed	Telecom Comores
Ms.	Munoz Zumbado	Maricela	Permanent Mission of Costa Rica to UN in Geneva
Mr.	Ndoumba	Eric Armel	Ministry of Post, Telecommunications and Digital Economy
Mr.	Zambrana	Roberto	ISOC Bolivia
MAG Members (online)			
Ms.	Cadena	Sylvia	APNIC
Mr.	Steck	Christoph	Telefonica
Former IGF Host Country Representatives (onsite)			
Ms.	Arida	Christine	National Telecom Regulatory Authority, Egypt
Mr.	Cancio	Jorge	OCOM, Switzerland
Mr.	Schneider	Thomas	OCOM, Switzerland
Ms.	Walpen	Livia	OCOM, Switzerland
Mr.	Glaser	Hartmut Richard	CGI.br
Other Participants (onsite)			
Mr.	Pukaluk	Michał	Ministry of Digital Affairs (IGF 2020 Host Country Team)

Mr.	Typiak	Przemysław	Ministry of Digital Affairs (IGF 2020 Host Country Team)
Mr.	Hochschild	Fabrizio	USG (online)
Mr.	Kelly	David	EOSG
Mr.	Jallow	Hassan Bubacarr	IGF MAG
Mr.	Verhagen	Paul	The Hague Centre for Strategic Studies
Ms.	Edoh	Afi	UN IGF MAG Member
Ms.	Chen	Yu	Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications
Ms.	Gatto	Raquel	Internet Society
Mr.	Prendergast	James	The Galway Strategy Group
Ms.	Kazakova	Anastasiya	Kaspersky
Mr.	Michels	Jochen	Kaspersky
Mr.	Jelinek	Thorsten	Taihe Institute
Mr.	Rademaker	Michel	The Hague Centre for Strategic Studies
Ms.	Prieto	Alejandra	Internet Society
Mr.	De Natris	Wouterus	De Natris Consult
Ms.	Scialpi	Valentina	European Commission
Mr.	Bringer	Olivier	European Commission
Ms.	Al Farra	Hadeel	QHR FOUNDATION
Ms.	Hoferichter	Sandra	EuroDIG
Mr.	Mazzone	Giacomo	EBU-UER
Mr.	Vishnevskiy	Petr	Graduate Institute
Mr.	Polo	Carlos	PPS
Ms.	Tauchnitz	Evelyne	Graduate Institute
Mr.	Blaker	Paul	Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport
Mr.	Degezelle	Wim	DRMV
Ms.	Agosti	Tania	Race and Equality Human Rights Institute

Ms.	Maecker	Swantje	Federal Foreign Office
Mr.	Hureaux	Jeremy	MINISTRY FOR EUROPE AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS
Ms.	Shaheed	Samar	ILO
Ms.	Baraka	Mounira	UNITAR
Mr.	Mustafa	Jihad	Hospice General
Mr.	Kane	Cisse	ACSIS : African Civil Society on the Information Society
UN DESA			
Mr.	Kwok	Wai Min	Senior Governance and Public Administration Officer, DPIDG
IGF Secretariat			
Mr.	Masango	Chengetai	Programme & Technology Manager
Mr.	Garcia Bobo	Luis	Associate Information Systems Officer
Ms.	Gengo	Anja	Associate Programme Officer
Ms.	Madomi	Lima	Fellow

Other Participants (online)			
-	Fotjon	Kosta	Albania (Government)
-	Animesh	Choudhury	Switzerland (Government)
-	Christian	Perrild	Denmark (Government)
-	Finn	Petersen	Denmark (Government)
-	Julia	Wolman	Denmark (Government)
-	Hisham	Aboulyazed	Egypt (Government)
-	Manal	Ismail	Egypt (Government)
-	Yoichi	Iida	Japan (Government)

-	Arnold	Van Rhijn	Netherlands (Government)
-	Marcin	Czubak	Poland (Government)
-	Adelina	Dinca	Romania (Government)
-	Yasin	Mustafa	Somalia (Government)
-	Birgitta	Hoggren	United States (Government)
-	William	Shipilo	Zambia (Government)
-	Adv. Jean-philippe n.	Rubin	“Chut Shel Chessed” institutions of rabbi shalom arush
-	Cisse	Kane	ACSIS
-	Salyou	Fanny	ACSIS Ivory Coast
-	Caleb	Ogundele	African academic network on internet policy
-	Anriette	Esterhuysen	Association for progressive communications
-	Said	Essoulami	Centre for media freedom
-	Bruna	Santos	Coding rights
-	Julián	Casasbuenas g.	Colnodo
-	Xiaobo	Yang	Cybersecurity association of china
-	Natasa	Perucica	DiploFoundation
-	Julemine	Laurent	Gjupah
-	Michael j.	Oghia	Global forum for media development
-	Gustavo	Paiva	Grupo de estudos de direito da internet - gedi@ufrn
-	Bachar Bong	Abdeldjalil	House of africa
-	Raúl	Echeberría	Independent
-	Dallakian	Ani	Internet society Armenia, Armenia IGF
-	Shadrach	Ankrah	Internet society youth@igf

-	Lianna	GALSTYAN	ISOC Armenia
-	Helani	Galpaya	Lirneasia
-	Rinchen	Dorji	Loden foundation
-	Issakha doud-bane	Khouzeifi	MAG Youth IGF Chad
-	Benjamin	Akinmoyeje	Msh
-	Paula	Corte real	None
-	Raqeeb mahmood	Ahmed	Notr org
-	Talita	De Souza Dias	Oxford institute for ethics, law and armed conflict, blavatnik school of government, university of oxford
-	Juan	Pajaro velasquez	Ruta trans
-	Mokabberi	Amirhossein	Tehran university
-	Jean Louis	Fendji kedieng ebongue	University of ngaoundere
-	Jackson	Miake	Vanuatu igf
-	Daniela	Roess	Venro
-	Said	Zerga	Vsat imstaller in north africa
-	Peterking	Quaye	Youth ambassador - youth igf
-	Umair	Ahmad	Youth for human rights pakistan
-	Said	Zerga	Dcs telecom
-	Dustin	Loup	ISOC-DC
-	Chris	Wilson	Amazon.com
-	Berry	Cobb	Bac in black consulting
-	Susan	Mohr	Centurylink
-	Rachael	Stelly	Computer & communications industry association
-	Azadur rahman	Sarker	Cyberone
-	Raphael	Oni	Diplomats extra magazine
-	Katarzyna	Cyrbus	Grayling poland

-	Michael	Benaudis	Https card - internet identity card
-	Marilyn	Cade	ICT strategies - MCADE LLC
-	Neema	Oriko	Independent researcher
-	Ron	Da silva	Network technologies global
-	Nicole	Karlebach	Oath, inc.
-	Fatma	Zerga	Private. Student
-	Lauren	Crean	Telecommunications management group
-	Gonzalo	Lopez-barajas	Telefonica, s.a.
-	Jim	Prendergast	The galway strategy group
-	B.	Wanner	U.s. Council for international business
-	Jacquelynn	Ruff	Wiley rein
-	Pia	Groenewolt	All digital
--	Giulia	Belardo	Eu
-	Thierry	Barbe	European commission
-	Andrea	Leone	European commission
-	Samar	Shaheed	International labour organisation (ilo former staff)
-	Xianhong	Hu	Unesco
-	Zadek	Simon	United nations development programme
-	Brian	Beckham	Wipo
-	Michael	Nelson	Cloudflare
-	Innocent	Adriko	ICANN
-	Yijing	Li	Cast
-	Flavio	Wagner	Cgi.br
-	Serge	Ziehi	Consultant

-	Ferrer	Edna	Federal Telecommunications Institute
-	Avri	Doria	ICANN
-	Lynn	St. Amour	Internet Matters
-	Lucien m.	Castex	ISOC
-	Isaac	Andy	Iznd services
-	Samantha	Dickinson	Lingua synaptica
-	Vinicius	W. O. Santos	Nic.br / cgi.br
-	Suzanne	Taylor	Ripe ncc
-	Hanan	Khatib	Self employed
-	Tracy	Hackshaw	Trinidad & Tobago multistakeholder advisory group (TT MAG)