




Internet Governance Forum (IGF)
Dynamic Coalitions (DC)
Virtual Coordination Meeting XII, 6th May 2020 at 12:00 UTC
Summary Report

1. The Forty-Second virtual meeting of Dynamic Coalitions Coordination Group (DCCG) took place on 6th May 2020 at 12:00 UTC. The meeting was co-facilitated by Jutta Croll and Markus Kummer, with Lima Madomi representing the IGF Secretariat. Live captioning was available during the call, with the transcript posted on the IGF website:
<https://www.intgovforum.org/multilingual/content/dc-coordination-meeting-xlii>
The meeting was also recorded and is available for download:
https://intgovforum.zoom.us/rec/play/tMUrIb2h_D03SYHA5ASDB6V4W420K6qs2iBIqfRfmE63BXUAMAenZOMWYLB0vD6F7-QLzGZ6D7x3yI56?autoplay=true&startTime=1588765707000
2. Jutta briefed participants about the virtual [MAG meeting](#). The updates are as follow:
 - a. The next MAG meeting will take place virtually and will take place over 5 days. All set statements or briefings will be prerecorded prior to the meeting. The meeting will have short plenaries and then have breakout groups to enable MAG members to work in time zones that suit them. Breakout group methodology and process will be pre-agreed before the meeting.
 - b. The IGF Secretariat updated the MAG regarding the number of received session proposals. [237 workshops, 49 open forums, 47 days 0 events, 74 booths request, 16 DCs and 7 NRIs](#) have submitted their proposals for 15th IGF. Received workshop proposals proportion based on each thematic track is, 42% Trust, 29% Inclusion, 21% Data and 8% Environment.
 - c. Considering the number of received proposals the MAG workshop evaluation working group has decided to divide MAG members into four groups. Two groups will evaluate Trust, One group inclusion and the last one group Data + Environment.
3. The co-facilitators updated the group regarding the NRIs annual meetings. It was said that most NRIs decided to hold their meetings virtually.
4. There was some suggestion regarding the proportion of the received proposals based on each thematic track. Most DCs agreed to the idea and requested Jutta on proposing the idea to the MAG.

5. The IGF Secretariat updated participants regarding the number of received DCs proposals. 16 DCs submitted their proposals. They were also reminded that as per the [DCs guidelines](#), a yearly report is considered as an eligibility criteria for requesting an individual meeting slot at annual IGFs and maintaining the recognition status with the IGF Secretariat. [DCs Inputs page](#) [Note: some DCs uploaded their inputs into their website's and uploaded the link to their submitted proposals]

The proportion of DCs received proposals:



6. There was some confusion regarding the annual report on the IGF website. Some DCs said that throughout the year they have submitted several documents, which were not listed in the page as an annual report. IGF Secretariat requested DCs for inspecting the input link and notifying the secretariat if their submitted reports are not listed on the page.
7. Markus said that the DC guidelines are not very clear and therefore open to interpretation. This, may have created some confusion among DCs. He requested the DCs to start a bottom-up process to update their guideline to a new and clearer version. All DCs expressed their agreement to the idea and committed to amending the guidelines. Michael Oghia volunteered to lead the process.
8. The co-facilitator reminded DCs for brainstorming regarding the DCs main session. DCs suggested starting a discussion about the timeslot, and panelists in the DCs mailing list. It was said that the best time for having a main session proposal will be before the MAG June meeting. DCs can represent their proposals for the main session during the June meeting. Sivasubramanian Muthusamy volunteered to prepare a first draft in the form of a google doc to get the process started.
9. There was some suggestion to assess previous sessions best practices and designing a comprehensive session. Strengthening participation during the main session was considered important.

Next Steps:

1. DCs decided to start collaborative work drafting the DCs main session proposal.
2. DCs decided to start a bottom-up process amending and clarifying their guidelines.

Annex I

Agenda:

- Adoption of the agenda
- Update from the MAG calls
- DCs proposals updates
- DCs Main session
- DC cooperation
- AOB

Annex II

Meeting Participants

Markus Kummer
Jutta Croll
Lima Madomi
Michael Oghia
Valensiya Dresvyannikova
Minda
Olivier MJ
Crepin-Leblond
Avri Doria (DC SIG)
Nik Smith
Judith Hellerstein
Noha Ashraf Abdel Baky
Bishakha Datta
Siva Subramanian muthusamy