

**Internet Governance Forum (IGF)
Dynamic Coalition Coordination Group
Virtual Meeting 46**

9 October 2020 at 13:00 UTC

Summary Report

Open Action Items:

- Ms. Croll to send a copy of her analysis of the themes emerging from the eight submitted substantive papers to the DC Coordination mailing list.
- DCs wishing to participate in the DC main session at this year's IGF, but who hadn't submitted a substantive report by 29 September, are encouraged to submit a content regarding how they can contribute to one of the themes identified by Ms. Croll.
- DCs to review the content of their information page on the IGF website for accuracy and to contact Ms. Dickinson with any updates that need to be made.
- IGF Secretariat to be requested to consider enabling DC representatives to be able to update their specific information pages on the IGF website.

1. The forty-sixth virtual meeting of the Dynamic Coalition Coordination Group (DCCG) took place on 9 October 2020 at 13:00 UTC.

- Ms. Jutta Croll and Mr. Markus Kummer co-facilitated the meeting.
- Ms. Samantha Dickinson represented the IGF Secretariat.

The following are attached as annexes to this report:

- Adopted agenda
- List of participants

The meeting's transcript is available on the website at

<https://www.intgovforum.org/multilingual/content/dc-coordination-meeting-xiv>

2. Mr. Kummer welcomed participants to the call. Ms. Dickinson reported that the live transcript provided by the captioners would be injected into the Zoom room for the first time, and that participants could access it by selecting the "closed captions" option at the bottom of the meeting screen. Ms. Dickinson noted that it was hoped that this new function would be particularly useful for participants who did not have English as a first language.
3. Ms. Croll briefed participants on the MAG meeting of 6 October. She reported that as of 6 October, around 1000 people had registered for IGF 2020. Ms. Croll noted that the deadline people were being urged to register by is **26 October**, with people still able to register during the meeting itself, but with pre-event registration preferred to help with

preparation for the number of participants expected in sessions. Ms. Croll reported that it would be up to session organisers to decide whether to use Webinar or Meeting mode for their sessions, but observed that Webinar mode tended to lose more participants during a session than Meeting mode. Ms. Croll reported that the Secretariat was still working out, for the IGF Village, how to manage booth availability – whether virtual booths would/should be available throughout the meeting or whether it was possible for booth organisers to somehow display when their booth would be virtually attended by a person in real time, to enable IGF participants to “visit” and have a real-time conversation with the booth’s organisers. Ms. Dickinson added that the secretariat had presented a brief overview of its communications plan for IGF 2020 to the MAG, which included encouraging the community to share information about the meeting – an idea reinforced by MAG member requests for a media pack that they could share amongst their networks. Ms. Dickinson encouraged DC members to also share information about IGF 2020 when the secretariat had completed the package of information. She also noted that there was a plan to produce a template that could be used for session organisers to promote their sessions via social media.

4. Ms. Croll reported that eight DCs papers wishing to participate in the DC main session at IGF 2020 had submitted substantive papers. She had identified four main themes amongst the papers:

- Digital divide
- Fundamental rights
- Education
- The future of IGF

She asked if any of the other DCs, that had not submitted substantive papers, would be interested in contributing to any of the themes identified and noted that if these four themes were agreed to as the way forward for the main session, then the current title of the main session, Dynamic Coalitions in Socio-Economic Renewal Post-Pandemic, would need to be changed to reflect the change in content for the session. She also observed that the papers discussed the current situation, rather than post-pandemic, so perhaps it was also necessary to change the focus to the current ongoing pandemic issues, rather than attempting to discuss solutions for after the pandemic ends.

In discussions amongst the DC representatives about participating in the main session, there was agreement that any DC should be able to submit substantive papers and participate in a main session, even if a DC had only been established that year. Some DCs that hadn’t submitted substantive papers explained that they felt they hadn’t had anything to contribute to the draft proposal’s topic for the main session, but that they could find areas of resonance with the themes Ms. Croll had identified within the papers submitted to date.

There was discussion about the possibility of using breakout rooms in the main session. It was noted that there wouldn’t be the ability to offer translation services for all the breakout rooms. It was also noted that while that was a factor to consider, breakout rooms did also help provide more dynamism in a session.

Ms. Croll reported that the DC main session had to be finalised in time for the next MAG meeting, on **20 October**. DC representatives agreed to forego the usual Doodle poll to

find a time for the next coordination call and hold a call to finalise the main session, after DCs had had an opportunity to respond to the analysis Ms. Croll had provided, and that the DCs present in the current meeting had commented on, in a call at the same time (13:00 UTC) on Friday, **16 October**.

ACTION ITEM: Ms. Croll to send a copy of her analysis of the themes emerging from the eight submitted substantive papers to the DC Coordination mailing list.

ACTION ITEM: DCs wishing to participate in the DC main session at this year's IGF, but who hadn't submitted a substantive report by 29 September, are encouraged to submit a content regarding how they can contribute to one of the themes identified by Ms. Croll.

5. Mr. Kummer presented a brief overview of a proposed study of the DCs, similar in nature to the “BPF on BPFs” that had recently completed its [report](#). The idea would be to document how DCs function as a key intersessional IGF set of activities, with a view to contributing to the wider discussion on how to improve digital cooperation. Mr. Kummer noted that it would require volunteers from the DCs themselves to work on the proposed paper. DC representatives agreed that such a paper would be a good idea. There was agreement that work on a DC inclusion study wouldn't be able to begin until after IGF 2020, when people's work loads start to subside a little.
6. Ms. Dickinson noted that she'd had requests coming through to update various IGF information pages about DCs on the IGF website, and asked all DC representatives to check the information on the IGF website for accuracy, particularly in terms of completeness of outputs, stakeholders and key contacts. It was recognised that while many DCs have their own independent websites, each DC has an information page on the IGF website. That information page is meant to be fairly static, but still needs updates in terms of changes of participants in the DC, updates to its outputs each year, etc. Ms Dickinson noted that in preparing for the current DC Coordination Meeting, Ms. Croll, Mr. Kummer and Ms. Dickinson had discussed the possibility of DC representatives having the ability to update the web page on the IGF website related to their specific DC, possibly as part of the updated website to be produced with the funding from the UK government, rather than in the current version of the website. The updated website is likely to have greater functionality for community-based efforts. DC representatives responded that they would welcome the ability to maintain their own DC's details on the IGF website, and that it would free up the secretariat's time for DC support activities to focus on more substantive DC matters.

ACTION ITEM: DCs to review the content of their information page on the IGF website for accuracy and to contact Ms. Dickinson with any updates that need to be made.

ACTION ITEM: IGF Secretariat to be requested to consider enabling DC representatives to be able to update their specific information pages on the IGF website.

7. An item under DC Cooperation, on DC mailing lists, was deferred until the following meeting, due to lack of time.
8. The next DC Coordination Meeting will be held 13:00-14:00 UTC, **16 October**.

Agenda

1. Update from the MAG calls
2. DCs Main session and substantive papers
3. DC study (based on BPF on BPFs review process)
4. DC cooperation
5. AOB

List of participants

Title	Last Name	First Name	Dynamic Coalition
Coordination Facilitators			
Ms.	Croll	Jutta	
Mr.	Kummer	Markus	
DC Representatives			
Ms.	Baghdasaryan	Meri	Youth Coalition on Internet Governance
Mr.	Crepin-Leblond	Olivier	Dynamic Coalition on Core Internet Values
Ms.	Das	Debarati	Dynamic Coalition on Gender and Internet Governance
Ms.	Dresvyannikova	Valensiya	Dynamic Coalition on Public Access in Libraries
Mr.	Ellis	Gerry	Dynamic Coalition on Accessibility and Disability
Mr.	Falcão	João Moreno	
Mr.	Gwaka	Leon	Dynamic Coalition on Connecting the Unconnected
Ms.	Hellerstein	Judith	Dynamic Coalition on Accessibility and Disability
Ms.	Hilyard	Maureen	Dynamic Coalition on Small Island Developing States in the Internet Economy
Ms.	Lemineur	Marie-Laure	Dynamic Coalition on Child Online Safety
Ms.	Moreira	Minda	Dynamic Coalition on Internet Rights and Principles
Mr.	Oghia	Michael	Dynamic Coalition on Internet Rights and Principles; Dynamic Coalition on

			Sustainability of Journalism and News Media
Ms.	Parris	June	Dynamic Coalition on Internet Rights and Principles
Prof.	Pratap Gupta	Rajendra	Dynamic Coalition on Internet and Jobs
Secretariat Support			
Ms.	Dickinson	Samantha	Consultant